EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

This Executive Summary is provided in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15123. It contains an overview of the programmatic analysis contained in the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) prepared for the University of California, Santa Cruz (UC Santa Cruz) 2021 Long Range Development Plan (2021 LRDP). As stated in State CEQA Guidelines Section 15123(a), "[a]n EIR shall contain a brief summary of the proposed actions and its consequences. The language of the summary should be as clear and simple as reasonably practical." State CEQA Guidelines Section 15123(b) states, "The summary shall identify: (1) [e]ach significant effect with proposed mitigation measures and alternatives that would reduce or avoid that effect; (2) [a]reas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public; and (3) [i]ssues to be resolved including the choice among alternatives and whether or how to mitigate the significant effects." Accordingly, this summary includes a brief synopsis of the 2021 LRDP, plan alternatives, environmental impacts and mitigation, and areas of known controversy. Table ES-1 (at the end of this section) presents a summary of potential environmental impacts, their level of significance without mitigation measures, the mitigation measures, and the level of significance following the implementation of mitigation measures.

SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE 2021 LRDP

The 2021 LRDP would serve as the long-term planning document that guides physical campus growth through 2040 on two of the three UC Santa Cruz campus properties located in the City of Santa Cruz: (1) the UC Santa Cruz main residential campus and (2) the Westside Research Park, located at 2300 Delaware Avenue. Together, the main residential campus and Westside Research Park constitute the LRDP area or plan area for the 2021 LRDP. It does not address planning or growth on the third campus property, the Coastal Science Campus, which is governed by a separate Coastal Long Range Development Plan (State Clearinghouse No. 2001112014). In addition, the LRDP area does not include the Scotts Valley Center, the Silicon Valley remote satellite campus, nor the UC Monterey Bay Education, Science, and Technology Center (MBEST), which was transferred to UC Santa Cruz by the U.S. Army and is located approximately 26 miles south of the main residential campus.

The 2021 LRDP embraces a compact academic core with housing around the periphery. Employee housing would be strategically located to allow access to community resources. An enhanced historic district at the entrance to the main residential campus would provide an improved community interface. Designated reserve areas would be set aside for ecological, cultural, and educational uses and natural space would protect wildlife corridors and scenic views. To improve circulation, the 2021 LRDP includes an improved and more efficient roadway network and enhanced alternative transportation throughout the main residential campus. Finally, the Westside Research Park would incorporate mixed-use academic, research, and housing on the west side of Santa Cruz.

The overall objective of the 2021 LRDP is to guide the physical planning and development of the plan area in support of the teaching, research, and public service missions of UC Santa Cruz and the broader UC system. The plan's growth assumptions are based on campus population projections and an understanding of campus needs and goals beyond the 19,500 Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) students¹ planned for under the 2005 LRDP. However, the 2021 LRDP does not commit UC Santa Cruz to any specific enrollment level, campus population, or development. The 2021 LRDP planning effort anticipates that the on-campus student population could grow from approximately 18,518 FTE (three quarter average for the 2018–2019 academic year) to a potential enrollment of 28,000 FTE students (three quarter

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

¹ An FTE student is a three-quarter average (Fall, Winter, and Spring quarters) measure of (1) an undergraduate student who enrolls for 45 credit hours per academic year; or (2) a graduate student (master's level or doctoral student not yet advanced to candidacy) enrolled in 36 hours per year; or (3) a graduate doctoral student who has been advanced to candidacy. The LRDP campus population forecast accounts for students studying at the main residential campus and the Westside Research Park.

average) by the 2040-2041 academic year. UC Santa Cruz faculty and staff are also anticipated to increase from approximately 2,800 FTE to approximately 5,000 FTE in the same timeframe. Because of housing challenges in the region, UC Santa Cruz plans to accommodate 100 percent of the increase in student enrollment beyond 19,500, and up to 25 percent of the additional anticipated 2,200 FTE faculty/staff members in on-campus housing, conditional on demand.² To accommodate the increased campus population, the 2021 LRDP proposes facility renewal and new capacity for an additional 3.1 million square feet of academic/administrative and support building space.

The 2021 LRDP proposes a mix of land use categories to accommodate academic, open space, residential, and campus support uses. Under the 2021 LRDP, these types of land use categories would be retained but have been further refined through the 2021 LRDP planning process to reflect campus needs and functions today. The 2021 LRDP identifies the following land use categories to support anticipated campus growth:

- Academic and Support Land Use Designation (approximately 170 acres)
 - Academic and Administrative Support—various spaces that support the work of teaching, learning, and research.
 - Student Support and Public Services—health and wellness, student support spaces and gathering, community amenities spaces, and athletics and recreation.
- Residential Land Use Designations (approximately 359 acres)
 - Colleges and Student Housing—colleges and student housing, academic, and support spaces
 - Employee Housing— staff and faculty housing, and support space
- Open Space Land Use Designations (approximately 1,402 acres)
 - Outdoor Research—active landscapes for teaching, research and community education, including the following existing research programs: Center for Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems farm, the Arboretum and Botanic Garden, and the Chadwick Garden.
 - Campus Natural Reserve—land designated to protect natural features and processes for the purposes of teaching and research
 - Natural Space—land protected as open space to maintain special campus landscapes for scenic value, special vegetation and wildlife continuity
 - Campus Habitat Preserve—habitat reserve that was established pursuant to a 2005 Implementing Agreement between the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the Regents and is referred to as Inclusionary Parcels A and D. These parcels are designated for the management of the Ohlone Tiger Beetle and California Red Legged Frog.
- Other (approximately 127 acres)
 - Historic District—land and structures intended to express the unique historic and cultural context for academic & support facilities, community-facing programs, and visitor resources.
 - Recreation & Athletics—indoor and outdoor athletic fields and facilities
 - Facilities & Operations— includes office and shop space for staff who support the continued operation of the campus.
 - Mixed Use—employee housing, academic and support space

² UC Santa Cruz has provided student beds up to 15,000 Full Time Equivalent (FTE) students and will continue to provide student beds for 67 percent of FTE students between an enrollment of 15,000 and 19,500 students, in accordance with the 2008 Comprehensive Settlement Agreement (CSA). The proposed LRDP will provide 100 percent of student beds for 8,500 additional FTE students and enrollment of above 19,500.

2021 LRDP GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

As noted above, the overall objective of the 2021 LRDP is to guide the physical planning and development of the plan area in support of the teaching, research, and public service missions of UC Santa Cruz and the broader UC system. Four key considerations have informed the 2021 LRDP goals: supporting the academic mission, guiding campus evolution and integrity, recognizing and connecting to the local and regional context, and establishing a framework of planning resilience and long-term sustainability. UC Santa Cruz has identified the following 2021 LRDP objectives to guide implementation of the 2021 LRDP:

- ▶ Expand campus facilities and infrastructure to allow for projected increases in student enrollment through 2040 based on statewide public educational needs and to support the academic mission, including housing for 100 percent of the additional FTE students (above the 2005 LRDP total of 19,500 FTE students) in both colleges and student housing developments, and commensurate academic and support space.
- ▶ Ensure compact and clustered development of academic, administrative, and support facilities in the academic core and student housing and colleges around the periphery to facilitate shared resources, provide convenient access, and promote pedestrian circulation.
- ▶ Provide for establishment of two new college pairs at the main residential campus to provide academic services and a close-knit intellectual and social environment.
- ▶ Protect, to the extent feasible, existing campus open spaces in the built environment, including areas designated as Natural Space to maintain an interconnectedness between natural resources, wildlife corridors and critical scenic viewsheds, and areas designated as Outdoor Research and Natural Reserve to protect natural features and processes for teaching and learning and to support dedicated outdoor research programs.
- ▶ Provide spaces for events and academic facilities to allow the campus to function as a center for public cultural life in the region through public programs, events, and services.
- ▶ Increase on-campus housing opportunities for faculty and staff at the main residential campus and the Westside Research Park, to allow up to 25 percent of the increase in faculty and staff, based on demand, to be housed on campus.
- ▶ Recognize, to the extent feasible, UC Santa Cruz and regional histories within the campus, including protecting tribal cultural resources and maintaining the integrity of existing historic structures and enhancing the Cowell Lime Works Historic District as a campus gateway.
- Develop an improved, more efficient roadway network to support transit with peripheral parking and mobility hubs.
- ▶ Promote Transportation Demand Management (TDM) and provide infrastructure to optimize trip- and vehicle-miles-travelled-reduction benefits and efficiency of transit, bike, and pedestrian access to, from, and within the campus to reduce the use of single-occupancy vehicles.
- ► Foster long-term physical and social resilience, including a response to climate change through climate resiliency and adaptation strategies and integrating sustainability leadership into campus teaching, learning, research, design, and operations.
- Respect and reinforce the Physical Planning Principles and Guidelines to maintain the unique character of the UC Santa Cruz campus.

SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15382, a significant effect on the environment is defined as "a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the project, including land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and objects of historic or aesthetic significance." Chapter 3 of this Draft EIR describes in detail the significant environmental impacts that would result from

UC Santa Cruz

Draft EIR

implementation of the 2021 LRDP. Chapters 4 and 5 provide a discussion of cumulative and growth-inducing impacts, respectively. Table ES-1 summarizes the environmental impacts and mitigation measures discussed in these chapters.

SIGNIFICANT AND UNAVOIDABLE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

State CEQA Guidelines Section 21100(b)(2)(A) provides that an EIR shall include a detailed statement setting forth in a separate section "[a]ny significant effect on the environment that cannot be avoided if the project is implemented." Accordingly, this section of the summary identifies the significant environmental impacts of the plan that cannot be mitigated to a less-than-significant level.

Chapter 3, "Environmental Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures," provides a description of the potential environmental impacts of the 2021 LRDP and recommends various mitigation measures to reduce impacts to the extent feasible. Chapter 4, "Cumulative Impacts," states whether the incremental effects of the 2021 LRDP are significant when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, other current projects, and probable future projects. After implementation of the recommended mitigation measures, most of the impacts associated with implementation of the 2021 LRDP would be reduced to a less-than-significant level. The following impacts are significant and unavoidable; that is, no feasible mitigation is available or the mitigation measures available were not sufficient to reduce them to a less-than-significant level. Note that this is only a summary of those impacts; it is important to review the discussions in Chapters 3 and 4 of this EIR to understand the full context of the impact determinations.

Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would result in the following significant and unavoidable environmental impacts, following implementation of feasible mitigation measures:

- ▶ Impact 3.3-1: Conflict with or Obstruct Implementation of an Applicable Air Quality Plan
- ▶ Impact 3.3-3: Operational Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors
- Impact 3.4-4: Impacts to Historical Resources
- ▶ Impact 3.12-1: Generate Substantial Temporary Construction Noise
- ▶ Impact 3.13-1: Directly or Indirectly Induce Substantial Unplanned Population Growth and Housing Demand
- ▶ Impact 3.17-1: Impacts on Water Supply

In terms of cumulative impacts, significant and unavoidable cumulative impacts would occur with respect to:

▶ Cumulative impacts related to air quality, historical resources, noise, population and housing, and water supply.

ALTERNATIVES TO THE 2021 LRDP

State CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6 mandates that all EIRs include a comparative evaluation of the proposed project with alternatives to the project that are capable of attaining most of the project's basic objectives but that would avoid or substantially lessen any of the significant effects of the project. CEQA requires an evaluation of a "range of reasonable" alternatives, including the "no project" alternative. The following alternatives are under consideration for the 2021 LRDP:

- Alternative 1: No Project Alternative.
- ▶ Alternative 2: Reduced LRDP Enrollment Alternative.
- ► Alternative 3: Reduced Development Footprint Alternative.
- ▶ Alternative 4: Reduced Campus Growth and Use of UC MBEST Off-Site Alternative.

State CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(e)(2) states that when the no-project alternative is identified as the environmentally superior alternative, the EIR must also identify an environmentally superior alternative from among the other alternatives. As discussed in Chapter 6, "Alternatives," the No Project Alternative is environmentally superior for all environmental resource areas. As a result, this EIR must identify an alternative among the other alternatives that is environmentally superior. Based on the environmental analysis contained in this Draft EIR, the environmentally superior alternative would be Alternative 2.

Alternative 1 would not provide additional housing (beyond the two planned-but-not-operational projects, Student Housing West and Kresge Housing that are part of the 2005 LRDP) to accommodate any of the anticipated growth in student enrollment, and Alternatives 2 and 3 would fall short of meeting projected enrollment needs based on current UC forecasts through 2040 (i.e., up to 28,000 FTE students). While Alternative 4 would achieve a lesser level of development within the main residential campus than the 2021 LRDP, it would likely increase certain off-site impacts at UC MBEST and would not be consistent with the project objective related to compact and clustered development, as well as those objectives related to GHG and VMT efficiency. Alternative 1 (No Project), which would represent the least amount of overall development compared to existing conditions and thus, least potential physical environmental impacts, would be considered the environmentally superior alternative.

Alternative 2 would result in lesser impacts compared to the 2021 LRDP, especially with respect to the overall level of development, but it would not altogether avoid the significant and unavoidable impacts associated with historic resources, noise, population and housing, and water supply that were identified for the 2021 LRDP. Similarly, Alternative 3 would result in generally lesser environmental effect than the 2021 LRDP, however, Alternative 3 would have potentially greater historic resources impacts related to denser and potentially larger/higher structures within the central campus subarea. Thus, when the impact reductions afforded by Alternative 2 are compared to those provided by Alternative 3, Alternative 2 would result in greater impact reductions and is thus considered superior to Alternative 3.

AREAS OF CONTROVERSY

In accordance with CEQA Section 21092 and State CEQA Guidelines Section 15082, a notice of preparation (NOP) was prepared and circulated for public review between February 25, 2020 and March 30, 2020. In light of concerns regarding COVID-19, the public review period was later extended to April 8, 2020. The NOP was submitted to the State Clearinghouse. Two public scoping sessions were held on March 12, 2020, from noon to 2:00 p.m. and from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. A third scoping meeting was held on April 1, 2020 from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Because of concerns regarding COVID-19, the sessions were not held in person, as originally planned. Instead, they were held in a virtual format via a live video feed. Appendix B contains the comment letters submitted in response to the NOP and transcripts from the NOP scoping meetings.

As reflected in the comments received during the NOP comment period, the major areas of controversy associated with the plan are:

- increased demand for off-campus housing;
- impacts to scenic resources including visual character, vistas, views, and corridors;
- impacts to threatened and endangered species as a result of potential future development;
- impacts to grazing land and forest cover;
- impacts to archaeological, historic, and tribal cultural resources;
- potential modifications to the great meadow; and
- potential traffic impacts associated with on-campus population growth.

All the substantive environmental issues raised in the NOP comment letters and at the scoping meetings have been addressed or otherwise considered during preparation of this Draft EIR.

Table ES-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
3.1. Aesthetics			
Impact 3.1-1: Result in a Substantial Adverse Effect on a Scenic Vista Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would result in the construction and operation of additional facilities within the UC Santa Cruz main residential campus and Westside Research Park that could result in alteration of scenic vantage and viewpoint locations including views towards the coast. However, regarding views both from and toward campus, new development would be designed and constructed in a manner consistent with, and generally adjacent to, existing development which has already altered some long-distance views. In addition, any campus-related development would be required to comply with the UC Santa Cruz Design Review Process, and standards set forth in the UC Santa Cruz Campus Standards Handbook, and be generally consistent with the Physical Design Framework and the Physical Planning Principles and Guidelines in the 2021 LRDP, which are established to provide aesthetically compatible facilities. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.1-2: Result in Adverse Effects on the Aesthetic Quality of the Cowell Lime Works Historic District Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would result in temporary and permanent visual changes associated with new development that could affect the Cowell Lime Works Historic District on the UC Santa Cruz main residential campus. Development under the 2021 LRDP could degrade the aesthetic quality of the Cowell Lime Works Historic District, which is considered a scenic resource. This would be a potentially significant impact.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.4-4a: Protect Cowell Lime Works Historic District (Refer to Section 3.4, "Cultural Resources")	LTS
Impact 3.1-3: Degrade Existing Visual Character or Quality Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would result in temporary and permanent visual changes throughout the UC Santa Cruz main residential campus and Westside Research Park, especially in areas valued for their visual character or quality including publicly accessible vantage points along Empire Grade west of the Santa Cruz city limits. While new buildings and other development resulting from 2021 LRDP implementation would be required to comply with standards set forth in the UC Santa Cruz Campus Standards Handbook and be generally consistent with the Physical Design Framework and the Physical Planning Principles and Guidelines in the 2021 LRDP to ensure consistency with the existing character and quality of the campus and surrounding areas, visual changes resulting from construction and new development could degrade the existing visual character and quality within	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.1-3a: Require Setback Distance from Empire Grade UC Santa Cruz shall require that development located north of the Arboretum and Botanic Garden entrance under the 2021 LRDP, which could be seen from Empire Grade, include a minimum setback of 200 feet from Empire Grade. If establishment of a 200-foot buffer is not feasible, a vegetated barrier or screen that prevents a direct line of site between a resource and developed structures shall be provided. Vegetation shall be native to California and selected to match existing vegetation located nearby. Mitigation Measure 3.1-3b: Implement Design Measures for Protection of Views Along Empire Grade Development within 500 feet of Empire Grade and west of the Santa Cruz city limits and the Arboretum and Botanic Garden within the UC Santa Cruz main residential	LTS

Draft EIR

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
the campus, especially in areas valued for their visual character or quality. This impact would be potentially significant.		campus shall be subject to review by the Campus Design Advisory Board to ensure that design of new facilities shall be visually unobtrusive and not unduly interfere with existing views. Review of future development by the Campus Design Advisory Board shall occur upon initial selection of sites. Design shall comply with standards set forth in the UC Santa Cruz Campus Standards Handbook and be generally consistent with the Physical Design Framework and Physical Planning Principles and Guidelines in the 2021 LRDP.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.1-3c: Implement Design Measures for Protection of Views within Scenic Areas For any development within primary campus viewsheds identified as scenic areas, UC Santa Cruz shall require that siting, development patterns, and architecture is consistent with the 2021 LRDP Physical Planning Principles and Guidelines, including those related to building height and massing, in order to ensure that the visual character and quality of scenic areas are not substantially degraded. Primary campus viewsheds include primary views of the main residential campus and oncampus viewsheds including the Great Meadow, East Meadow, and three smaller meadows (Porter, Crown, and Kerr), as well as prominent scenic views from Cowell College Plaza, the Arts area in the Academic Core, University House, the knoll at Porter College, and the field at Oakes College. Review of future developments by the Campus Design Advisory Board shall occur upon initial selection of sites. Design shall also comply with standards set forth in the UC Santa Cruz Campus Standards Handbook and be generally consistent with the Physical Design Framework.	
Impact 3.1-4: Create a New Source of Light or Glare Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would introduce new sources of light and glare associated with new buildings and facilities. Such lighting could contribute to indirect lighting and/or glare on adjacent land uses that could adversely affect daytime or nighttime views and result in additional sky glow. This impact is considered potentially significant.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.1-4: Minimize Light and Glare Resulting from New Development UC Santa Cruz shall incorporate site-specific consideration of the orientation of the building, use of landscaping materials, and choice of primary façade materials to minimize potential off-site spillover of lighting and glare from new development. As part of this measure and prior to project approval, UC Santa Cruz shall require the incorporation of site- and project-specific design considerations to minimize light and glare including, but not limited to, the following: ▶ The use of non-reflective exterior surfaces and non-reflective (mirrored) glass. ▶ Safety lighting along proposed pedestrian/bicycle pathways shall be limited to non-glare, downlit, low-bollard style lights that focus illumination to the pathway surface, consistent with the exterior lighting standards identified in the UC Santa Cruz Campus Standards Handbook.	LTS

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		All new outdoor lighting shall utilize directional lighting methods with shielded and cutoff type light fixtures to minimize glare and upward directed lighting such that light spillover onto adjacent structures does not occur. Verification of inclusion in project design shall be provided at the time of design review.	
		Consistent with the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA) Lighting Handbook, installation of new lighting sources shall comply with the recommended "light trespass" standards for light spillover specific to the lighting environment in the project area (e.g., dark, low brightness, medium district brightness, and high district brightness) identified in the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA) Lighting Handbook.	
3.2. Agriculture and Forestry Resources	!		
Impact 3.2-1: Convert Lands Designated as Important Farmlands to Non-Agricultural Use Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would result in the conversion of approximately 2 acres of land designated Prime Farmland on the California Department of Conservation's Farmland Mapping to non-agricultural uses. However, based on the Department of Conservation's Land Evaluation & Site Assessment (LESA) model, the 2-acre area is not considered a significant agricultural resource. In addition, the 2021 LRDP would result in the conversion of approximately 64 acres of grazing land to non-agricultural uses; however, grazing land is not considered Important Farmland and therefore its conversion to other land uses does not represent a significant environmental impact to agriculture. Therefore, the project's impact to Important Farmland is considered less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.2-2: Result in a Loss or Conversion of Forest Land to Non-Forest Use Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would result in the development of approximately 123 acres (of the approximately 1,000 acres within the LRDP area) of forested land cover, which would result in removal of trees that may be harvested for sale. Prior to tree removal, UC Santa Cruz would be required to secure Timber Conversion Permits (TCPs) for conversion of timberland to another use and receive approval of THPs if commercial trees are harvested. In addition to compliance with the Forest Practice Rules through Timber Harvest Plans (THPs) and TCPs, as necessary, UC Santa Cruz would retain an estimated 10 percent or greater tree cover throughout each development area, similar to historic development oncampus, such that each development area would still be considered forest land	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signific	ant PS = F	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
and would continue to provide forest land public benefits such as aesthetics, biodiversity, water quality, and recreation. Therefore, the project's forest resource impact is considered less than significant.			
3.3. Air Quality			
Impact 3.3-1: Construction-Generated Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors A quantitative analysis was performed to estimate the emissions of reactive organic gases (ROG), nitrous oxides (NO _X), and particulate matter (PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5}) that would be generated during an average year of on-campus construction under the proposed 2021 LRDP. Emissions were assumed to result from demolition, site preparation (e.g., excavation, clearing), off-road equipment use, material and equipment delivery trips, worker commute trips, and other construction activities (e.g., building, asphalt paving, application of architectural coatings), as well as trail and roadway construction, and the occasional construction of pedestrian bridges. Construction-generated daily NO _X emissions could occasionally exceed Monterey Bay Air Resources District's (MBARD's) significance threshold of 137 lb/day due to overlapping construction activities. Thus, construction emissions would have the potential to conflict with air quality planning efforts and result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of ozone for which the project region is nonattainment under the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS). This impact would be significant.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.3-1: Reduce Construction-Generated Emissions of NO _X Per contract specification requirements, UC Santa Cruz shall require that the contractor(s) develop and implement a plan demonstrating that the off-road equipment used on-site to construct 2021 LRDP projects would achieve a fleet-wide average 45 percent reduction in NO _X exhaust emissions, compared to uncontrolled aggregate statewide emission rates for similar equipment. One feasible plan to achieve this reduction would include the following: ▶ At least 80 percent of diesel-powered off-road equipment operating on the project site for more than two days continuously shall be equipped with engines meeting US EPA emissions standards for Tier 3 engines or equivalent, and use of Tier 4 engines shall be encouraged; ▶ Use of renewable diesel or other zero emissions alternative (e.g., electric) construction equipment to the degree available and feasible; ▶ Plan construction projects such that multiple project components (i.e., bridge or roadway construction) will not occur on the same days; and ▶ Alternatively, if UC Santa Cruz can demonstrate through preparation of an air quality assessment report prepared by an air quality specialist that large or contemporaneous 2021 LRDP construction projects would not exceed MBARD thresholds, then the above mitigation requirements may be waived.	LTS
Impact 3.3-2: Operational Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors Implementation of individual projects under the 2021 LRDP would result in long- term project-generated emissions of criteria air pollutants, particularly emissions of the ozone precursor, ROG, from the use of consumer products and cleaning supplies. Incremental long-term, operational ROG and PM ₁₀ emissions would exceed MBARD thresholds of significance (137 lb/day and 82 lb/day, respectively). Thus, operational emissions would conflict with the air quality planning efforts and result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of ozone and ambient PM ₁₀ concentrations, for both of which the project region is in nonattainment under the CAAQS. This would be a potentially significant impact.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.3-2: Reduce Operational Emissions of ROG and PM ₁₀ from All Sources The majority of ROG emissions are a result of aerosolized and evaporation of consumer products, which include cleaning solutions, personal care products, and pesticides. The calculation of ROG emissions from consumer products was based on the ability to control personal products over the use of consumer products, such as personal care products and household cleaners used off-campus. However, UC Santa Cruz is responsible for facility-related purchases, such as commercial cleaning and sanitizing solutions. Additional measures	SU

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
Impact 3.3-3: Conflict with or Obstruct Implementation of an Applicable Air Quality	S	should also be taken to reduce ROG emissions from other sectors, such as mobile sources, landscaping equipment, and architectural coatings. As such, UC Santa Cruz shall make every effort to reduce ROG emissions generated under the 2021 LRDP. With respect to the new construction and operations that would occur under the 2021 LRDP, UC Santa Cruz shall implement the following measures for on-campus activities: • Use zero or low-VOC consumer products and cleaning supplies that exceed CARB's consumer product VOC standards (as defined in CCR Title 17, Division 3, Chapter 1, Subchapter 8.5, Articles 1 through 5), such as those using electrolyzed water, where available. • Use zero-VOC architectural coatings with a VOC content no greater than 5 grams per liter. • Increase the level of zero emission landscaping equipment over time, such as electric lawnmowers, leaf blowers, and chainsaws to attain 95-100 percent of landscaping equipment use on campus. • Choose zero emission vehicles for all new light-duty fleet purchases. • Choose zero or low emission vehicles for all new heavy-duty fleet purchases, where available and feasible. • Encourage the use of zero emission vehicles by installing electric vehicle charging stations in parking facilities. • Reduce campus vehicle speed limits to the extent feasible and install traffic calming or signal coordination to reduce the intensity of vehicle braking and acceleration. Mitigation Measure 3.16-1: Implement Transportation Demand Management Program and Monitoring Refer to Section 3.16, "Transportation") Mitigation Measure 3.3-2 (above): Reduce Operational Emissions of ROG and PM10	SU
Impact 3.3-3: Conflict with or Obstruct Implementation of an Applicable Air Quality Plan MBARD has developed its 2012-2015 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) to guide the region toward achieving attainment of the California 8-hour ozone standard. The plan is based on an inventory of existing emission sources as well as projections about the future level of land use development in the NCCAB. With implementation of the 2021 LRDP, on-campus improvements related to promoting pedestrian/bicycle modes of transportation and decreasing on-campus parking are		Mitigation Measure 3.3-2 (above): Reduce Operational Emissions of ROG and PM ₁₀ from All Sources	SU SU
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signific	cant PS = F	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
PS	Mitigation Measure 3.4-1: Identify and Protect Unknown Archaeological Resources As early as possible in the project planning process for individual projects under the 2021 LRDP, UC Santa Cruz shall define the project's area of effect for archaeological resources. UC Santa Cruz shall determine the potential for the proposed project to result in cultural resource impacts, based on the extent of	LTS
	LTS LTS LTS LTS	before Mitigation LTS No mitigation is required. LTS No mitigation is required.

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
archaeological resources as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5. This would be a potentially significant impact.		ground disturbance and site modifications anticipated for the proposed project. UC Santa Cruz shall also review confidential resource records to determine whether complete intensive archaeological survey utilizing current techniques and practices, including consultation with a culturally-affiliated Native American tribe has been performed on the site and whether any previously recorded cultural resources are present. UC Santa Cruz shall implement the following steps to identify and protect archaeological resources that may be present in the project's area of effects: 1) For project sites that have not been subject to a prior complete intensive	
		archaeological survey, UC Santa Cruz shall ensure that a complete intensive surface survey is conducted by a qualified archaeologist, who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards in Archaeology, once the area of ground disturbance has been identified and prior to soil disturbing activities. Additionally, UC Santa Cruz shall notify the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of the area not subject to an intensive survey and a tribal representative shall be invited to participate. If an archaeological deposit is discovered, the archaeologist will prepare a site record and file it with the California Historical Resource Information System. In the event of a find within the area of potential effects, UC Santa Cruz shall consult with a qualified archaeologist to design and conduct an archaeological subsurface investigation and/or a construction monitoring plan of the project site to ascertain the extent of the deposit relative to the project's area of potential effects, to ensure that impacts to potential buried resources are avoided. If the qualified archaeologist determines that the archaeological material is Native American in origin and the qualified archaeologist assigned to the surveying and monitoring process is not an authorized representative of the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band, UC Santa Cruz and/or archaeologist shall consult with the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band in the process of designing a survey and monitoring program.	
		2) Where native soils will be disturbed, UC Santa Cruz shall require contractor crews to attend an informal training session provided by UC Santa Cruz prior to the start of earth moving, regarding how to recognize archaeological sites and artifacts. In addition, campus employees whose work routinely involves disturbing the soil shall be informed how to recognize evidence of potential archaeological sites and artifacts. Prior to disturbing the soil, contractors shall be notified that they are required to watch for potential archaeological sites	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Significance Mitigation Measures after Mitigation
		and artifacts and to notify UC Santa Cruz if any are found. In the event of a discovery, UC Santa Cruz shall implement item (4), below. 3) If it is determined that a known archaeological site extends into the project's area of potential effects, UC Santa Cruz shall ensure that the resource is evaluated by a qualified archaeologist, who will determine whether it qualifies as a historical resource or a unique archaeological resource under the criteria of CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4. This evaluation may require additional research, including subsurface testing, or avoidance measures, as described in item (5) below. If the archaeological resources is determined to be Native American in origin, and the qualified archaeologist performing the evaluation is not an authorized representative of the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band, the archaeologist shall consult and partner with the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band in the process of evaluating the significance and eligibility of the resource. If the resource does not qualify, or if no resource is present within the project's area of effect, this will be reported in the environmental document and no further mitigation will be required unless there is a discovery during construction. 4) If an archaeological resource is discovered during construction (whether or not an archaeologist is present), all soil disturbing work within 100 feet of the find shall cease. UC Santa Cruz shall contact a qualified archaeologist to provide and implement a plan for survey, subsurface investigation as needed to define the deposit, and assessment of the remainder of the site within the project area to determine whether the resource is significant and would be affected by the project. If the archaeological resource is determined to be Native American in origin, and the qualified archaeologist performing the evaluation is not an authorized representative of the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band, the archaeologist shall consult and partner with the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band in the process of planning a survey program
		5) If archaeological material within the project's area of effects is determined to qualify as a historical resource or a unique archaeological resource (as defined by CEQA), UC Santa Cruz shall consult with the qualified archaeologist to consider means of avoiding or reducing ground disturbance within the site boundaries, including minor modifications of building footprint, landscape modification, the placement of protective fill, the establishment of a preservation easement, or more substantial modifications where feasible that will permit avoidance or substantial preservation in place of the resource. If

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		the archeological resource is determined to be Native American in origin, and the qualified archaeologist performing the evaluation is not an authorized representative of the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band, the archaeologist shall consult and partner with the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band in the process of planning a survey program and evaluating the significance and eligibility of the resource. If avoidance or substantial preservation in place is not possible, UC Santa Cruz shall implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-1(6).	
		6) If avoidance or preservation in place is not possible for an archaeological site that has been determined to meet CEQA significance criteria, before the property is excavated, damaged, or destroyed, UC Santa Cruz shall retain a qualified archaeologist who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards in Archaeology. UC Santa Cruz is aware that the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band (AMTB) maintains a staff of registered professional archaeologists and tribal monitors who engage in cultural resource management through the tribe's nonprofit organization, the Amah Mutsun Land Trust (AMLT). When selecting a qualified archaeologist for work that relates to archaeological resources on campus lands that are determined to be Native American in origin, UC Santa Cruz will include AMTB/AMLT in notifications regarding forthcoming opportunities and contracts. The qualified archaeologist, in consultation with UC Santa Cruz and Native American tribes as applicable, shall prepare a research design, and plan and conduct archaeological data recovery and monitoring that will capture those categories of data for which the site is significant. UC Santa Cruz shall also ensure that appropriate technical analyses are performed, and a full written report prepared and filed with the California Historical Resources Information System; UC Santa Cruz shall also provide for the permanent curation of recovered materials.	
Impact 3.4-2: Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of a Tribal Cultural Resource Future development associated with the 2021 LRDP would involve land development activities that could cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource. Although no specific tribal cultural resources have been identified, there are eight prehistoric archaeological sites that currently exist on the main residential campus, and ground-disturbing construction activities could unearth previously unrecorded resources. This impact would be potentially significant.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.4-2: Protect Tribal Cultural Resources No less than 2 weeks prior to ground disturbance within 400 feet of a known prehistoric archaeological deposit (eight prehistoric archaeological sites are currently known to exist on the main residential campus), UC Santa Cruz shall notify the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of the potential ground disturbance. As part of the notification, a Native American monitor of the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band will be provided an opportunity to monitor during ground disturbance for potential archaeological materials and human remains within 400 feet of a known prehistoric archaeologic deposit. In addition, as described in Mitigation Measure 3.4-1(1), if a	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		previously unknown prehistoric archaeological deposit is uncovered during construction, a Native American monitor of the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band will be provided the opportunity to monitor grading within 400 feet of the find. If the find is Native American in origin, the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band shall coordinate with UC Santa Cruz regarding appropriate treatment, including preparation and implementation of a formal treatment plan. As described in Mitigation Measure 3.4-1(5), the preferred method of treatment is avoidance and preservation of the resources in place, including, but not limited to, planning and construction to avoid the resources and protect the cultural and natural context, or planning greenspace, parks, or other open space, to incorporate the resources with culturally appropriate protection and management criteria. If avoidance or preservation is not possible, potential curation or reinterment (either on-site or at an appropriate off-site location, as designated and previously approved by the tribe), of the encountered tribal cultural resources would be coordinated and approved by the tribe.	
Impact 3.4-3: Impacts to Human Remains Although unlikely, construction and excavation activities associated with project development could unearth previously undiscovered or unrecorded human remains, if they are present. Compliance with California Health and Safety Code Sections 7050.5 and 7052 and PRC Section 5097 would make this impact less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.4-4: Impacts to Historical Resources The 2021 LRDP proposes general types of campus development to support projected campus population growth and to enable expanded and new program initiatives, including the renovation of some existing buildings. This could result in damage, destruction, or loss of integrity to a historic building, structure, or district, thereby resulting in a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5. This would be a potentially significant impact.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.4-4a: Protect Cowell Lime Works Historic District During project-specific environmental review of development under the 2021 LRDP, UC Santa Cruz shall define the project's area of effect for historic buildings and structures as early as possible. If the project is located within or adjacent to the Cowell Lime Works Historic District, UC Santa Cruz shall take the following measures into account in project design to preserve the historic visual quality of the historic district: ▶ To the greatest extent feasible, a buffer of at least 200 feet shall be maintained between the boundaries of the Cowell Lime Works Historic District and new building development that would be visible against the backdrop of historic buildings from significant campus viewpoints. ▶ Any development, including new buildings, structures, access improvements, within a 500-foot buffer or within the district boundaries shall be evaluated by an architectural historian prior to implementation and conducted in compliance with the "Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment	SU

S = Significant

SU = Significant and unavoidable

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

LTS = Less than significant

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		of Historic Properties with Guidelines for Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring, and Reconstructing Historic Buildings" (Weeks and Grimmer 1995). New buildings or structures within 500 feet of the district boundaries shall be subject to design review by the Design Advisory Board, to ensure that design does not interfere with the historic aspect of the district and its buildings with respect to scale, massing, and materials, such that the rural historic visual character of the district is maintained.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.4-4b: Protect the Potential Campus Core Discontiguous Historic District During project-specific environmental review of development under the 2021 LRDP, UC Santa Cruz shall define the project's area of effect for historic buildings and structures as early as possible. For projects affecting any building identified as a potential contributor to the potential Campus Core discontiguous historic district, UC Santa Cruz shall implement the following procedures:	
		For all buildings located within the potential Campus Core discontiguous historic district, projects involving interior alterations or routine maintenance work do not need review by an architectural historian.	
		For minor exterior repairs that do not alter the visual appearance of the building-such as caused by water damage-to buildings that could be contributors to the potential Campus Core discontiguous historic district, if the repairs meet the "Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties," then review by an architectural historian is not required. Buildings that contribute to the potential historic district are Classroom Unit 1, Cowell College, Cowell Student Health Center (original construction), Crown College, East Field House, Hahn Student Services, Jack Baskin Engineering Building, Kerr Hall, Kresge College, McHenry Library, Merrill College, Nat Sci 2 Annex, Nat Sci 2 Main Building, Porter College, Stevenson College, Student Music East-KZSC Radio Station, Theater Arts, Thimann Laboratories, Thimann Lecture Hall, Thimann Receiving Building, and the University House.	
		For larger exterior repairs, building additions, or demolition of buildings that could be contributors to the potential Campus Core discontiguous historic district, UC Santa Cruz shall retain a qualified architectural historian to determine if the building, or group of buildings, could be contributors. If large repairs, alterations, or demolitions are proposed at Cowell, Crown, Merrill, Porter, or	

S = Significant

Draft EIR ES-16 NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

LTS = Less than significant

SU = Significant and unavoidable

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		Stevenson colleges, those groups of buildings shall be evaluated for their potential to comprise separate, individual sub-districts. (Note: Kresge College is not included in this group because Kresge College has been previously evaluated at a district level; due to lack of integrity, the college is not eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places [NRHP] or California Register of Historical Resources [CRHR.])	
		The qualified architectural historian shall record the buildings on the appropriate California Department of Parks and Recreation DPR 523 forms and evaluate the buildings against NRHP and CRHR significance criteria. If the building or group of buildings does not meet the CEQA criteria for a historical resource, no further mitigation is required. If the buildings qualify as a historic resource, the architectural historian and UC Santa Cruz shall consult to consider measures that would enable the project to avoid direct or indirect impacts to the potential Campus Core discontiguous historic district or contributing building. If the project cannot avoid modifications to the building, UC Santa Cruz shall ensure that documentation and treatment shall be carried out by a qualified	
		 architectural historian, as follows: a) If the building or structure can be preserved on-site, but remodeling, renovation or other alterations are required, this work shall be conducted in compliance with the "Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties with Guidelines for Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring, and Reconstructing Historic Buildings" (Weeks and Grimmer 1995). 	
		b) If a significant historic building or structure is proposed for major alteration or renovation, or to be moved and/or demolished, UC Santa Cruz shall ensure that a qualified architectural historian thoroughly documents the building and associated landscaping and setting. Documentation shall include still and video photography and a written documentary record of the building to the standards of the Historic American Building Survey (HABS) or Historic American Engineering Record (HAER), including accurate scaled mapping, architectural descriptions, and scaled architectural plans, if available. A copy of the record shall be deposited in the McHenry Library Special Collections, and with the California Historical Resources Information System. The	

S = Significant

SU = Significant and unavoidable

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

LTS = Less than significant

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures Significance after Mitigation
		record shall be accompanied by a report containing site-specific history and appropriate contextual information. This information shall be gathered through site-specific and comparative archival research, and oral history collection as appropriate.
		c) If preservation and reuse at the site are not feasible, the historical building shall be documented as described in item (b) and, when it is physically and financially feasible, it shall be moved and preserved or reused.
		d) If, in the opinion of the qualified architectural historian, the nature and significance of the building is such that its demolition or destruction cannot be fully mitigated through documentation, UC Santa Cruz shall reconsider project plans in light of the high value of the resource, and implement more substantial modifications, where feasible, to the proposed project that would limit the degree of modification or allow the structure to be preserved intact. These could include project redesign, relocation, or abandonment. If no such measures are feasible, the historical building shall be documented as described in item (b).
		For new infill construction within the potential historic district that does not involve building demolition:
		a) Infill projects outside Cowell, Crown, Merrill, Porter, or Stevenson colleges would not affect the potential college sub-districts or the potential Campus Core discontiguous historic district, and do not need review by an architectural historian; and
		b) Infill projects within Cowell, Crown, Merrill, Porter, or Stevenson College will require review by an architectural historian for elements such as form, massing, and scale, to ensure visual compatibility with the college, and the review shall be conducted in compliance with the "Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties with Guidelines for Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring, and Reconstructing Historic Buildings" (Weeks and Grimmer 1995).
		Mitigation Measure 3.4-4c: Conduct Project-Specific Surveys and Implement Measures to Protect Previously Unidentified Historic Resources For areas outside the Cowell Lime Works Historic District and the potential Campus Core discontiguous historic district, as early as possible in the project planning process, UC Santa Cruz shall define the project's area of potential effect for historic structures. UC Santa Cruz shall determine the potential for the project
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than significations.	cant PS = F	Campus Core discontiguous historic district, as early as possible in the project planning process, UC Santa Cruz shall define the project's area of potential effect

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		to result in impacts to or alteration of historic structures, based on the extent of site and building modifications anticipated for the proposed project.	
		Before altering or otherwise affecting a building or structure 50 years old or older that has not been evaluated previously, UC Santa Cruz shall retain a qualified architectural historian to record it at professional standards and assess its significance under CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4. The evaluation process shall include the development of appropriate historical background research as context for the assessment of the significance of the structure in the history of the UC system, the campus, and the region. For historic buildings, structures or features that do not meet the CEQA criteria for historical resource, no further mitigation is required, and the impact would be less than significant.	
		For a building or structure that qualifies for listing on the CRHR, UC Santa Cruz shall consult with the architectural historian to consider measures that would enable the project to avoid direct or indirect impacts to the building or structure. These could include preserving a building on the margin of the project site, using it "as is," or other measures that would not alter the building.	
		If the project cannot avoid modifications to a significant building or structure, UC Santa Cruz shall ensure that documentation and treatment shall be carried out by a qualified architectural historian, as described below:	
		a) If the building or structure can be preserved on site, but remodeling, renovation or other alterations are required, this work shall be conducted in compliance with the "Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties with Guidelines for Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring, and Reconstructing Historic Buildings" (Weeks and Grimmer 1995).	
		b) If a significant historic building or structure is proposed for major alteration or renovation, or to be moved and/or demolished, UC Santa Cruz shall ensure that a qualified architectural historian thoroughly documents the building and associated landscaping and setting. Documentation shall include still and video photography and a written documentary record of the building to the standards of the Historic American Building Survey (HABS) or Historic American Engineering Record (HAER), including accurate scaled mapping, architectural descriptions, and scaled architectural plans, if available. A copy of the record shall be deposited in the McHenry Library Special Collections, and with the California Historical Resources Information System. The record shall be accompanied by a report containing site-specific history and appropriate	

S = Significant

SU = Significant and unavoidable

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

LTS = Less than significant

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		 contextual information. This information shall be gathered through site specific and comparative archival research, and oral history collection as appropriate. c) If preservation and reuse at the site are not feasible, the historical building shall be documented as described in item (b) and, when it is physically and financially feasible, it shall be moved and preserved or reused. d) If, in the opinion of the qualified architectural historian, the nature and significance of the building is such that its demolition or destruction cannot be fully mitigated through documentation, UC Santa Cruz shall reconsider project plans in light of the high value of the resource, and implement more substantial modifications to the proposed project that would limit the degree of modification or allow the structure to be preserved intact. These could include project redesign, relocation, or abandonment. If no such measures are feasible, the historical building shall be documented as described in item (b). 	
3.5. Biological Resources			
Impact 3.5-1: Result in Disturbance or Loss of Special-Status Plant Species Potential land use conversion and development as part of implementation of the 2021 LRDP could result in disturbance to or loss of several special-status plant species if they are present. Additionally, development under the 2021 LRDP could result in introduction or spread of invasive plants during vegetation removal or ground disturbance, which could result in exclusion of special-status plants. Because the loss of special-status plants could substantially affect the abundance, distribution, and viability of local and regional populations of these species, this would be a potentially significant impact.	PS	 Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a: Conduct Project-Level Biological Reconnaissance Sensitive Species and Habitats Survey During the early planning stages of projects under the 2021 LRDP, the following measure shall apply: A data review and biological reconnaissance survey will be conducted within a particular project site by a qualified biologist prior to project activities (e.g., ground disturbance, vegetation removal, staging, construction) and will be conducted no more than one year prior to project implementation. The qualified biologist must be familiar with the life histories and ecology of species in Santa Cruz County and must have experience conducting field surveys of relevant species or resources, including protocol-level surveys for individual species, if applicable. The data reviewed will include the biological resources setting, species tables, and habitat information in this EIR. It will also include review of the best available, current data for the area, including vegetation mapping data, species distribution/range information, CNDDB, CNPS Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California, consultation with appropriate campus experts (e.g. Campus Natural Reserve Manager) to obtain information on biological resources that may not be captured in other databases, relevant Biogeographic Information and Observation System (BIOS) queries, and relevant general and regional plans. BIOS is a web-based system 	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		that enables the management and visualization of biogeographic data collected by CDFW and partner organizations. The qualified biologist will assess the habitat suitability of the project site for all special-status plant and wildlife species as well as sensitive habitats identified as having potential to occur in the LRDP area (refer to Section 3.5.2, "Environmental Setting"), and will identify any wildlife nursery sites (e.g., heron rookeries, bat maternity roosts, monarch butterfly overwintering colonies, deer fawning areas) within the LRDP area and potential ESHAs within project sites that fall within the coastal zone. The qualified biologist will also conduct a preliminary delineation of sensitive habitats (e.g., wetlands, streams, seeps, sensitive natural communities, ESHAs) within the project site. The biologist will provide a report to UC Santa Cruz with evidence to support a conclusion as to whether special-status species and sensitive habitats are present or are likely to occur within the project site. If the reconnaissance survey identifies no potential for special-status plant, wildlife species, or sensitive habitats to occur, UC Santa Cruz will not be required to apply any additional mitigation measures under Impact 3.5-1 through 3.5-4.	
		If the qualified biologist determines that there is potential for special-status species or sensitive habitats to be present within the project site, the appropriate biological mitigation measures, identified herein shall be implemented.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-1b: Conduct Special-Status Plant Surveys and Implement Avoidance Measures and Mitigation If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that habitat suitable for special-status plant species is present within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented:	
		Prior to implementation of project activities and during the blooming period for the special-status plant species with potential to occur in a particular project site, as determined during implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a, a qualified botanist will conduct protocol-level surveys for special-status plants within the project site following survey methods from CDFW's Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts on Special-Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities (CDFW 2018 or most recent version). The qualified botanist will: 1) be knowledgeable about plant taxonomy, 2) be familiar with plants of the Santa Cruz region, including special-status plants and sensitive natural communities, 3) have experience conducting floristic botanical field	
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signific	cant PS = F	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		surveys as described in CDFW 2018, 4) be familiar with the <i>California Manual of Vegetation</i> (Sawyer et al. 2009 or current version, including updated natural communities data at http://vegetation.cnps.org/), and 5) be familiar with federal and state statutes and regulations related to plants and plant collecting.	
		If special-status plants are not found, the botanist will document the findings in a report to UC Santa Cruz, and no further mitigation will be required.	
		If special-status plant species are found, the plant will be avoided completely, if feasible (i.e., project objectives can still be met). This may include establishing a no-disturbance buffer around the plants and demarcation of this buffer by a qualified biologist or botanist using flagging or high-visibility construction fencing. The size of the buffer will be determined by the qualified biologist or botanist and will be large enough to avoid direct or indirect impacts on the plant.	
		[Table MM3.5-1, Normal Blooming Period for Special-Status Plants That are Known to Occur or May Occur within the 2021 LRDP Area can be found Section 3.5, "Biological Resources.]	
		If special-status plants are found during special-status plant surveys and cannot be avoided, UC Santa Cruz shall, in consultation with CDFW or USFWS as appropriate depending on the particular species, develop and implement a site-specific mitigation strategy to achieve no net loss of occupied habitat or individuals. Mitigation measures shall include, at a minimum, preserving and enhancing existing populations, establishing populations through seed collection or transplantation from the site that is to be affected, and/or restoring or creating habitat in sufficient quantities to achieve no net loss of occupied habitat or individuals. Potential mitigation sites could include suitable locations within or outside of the LRDP area, with a preference for on-site mitigation. Habitat and individual plants lost shall be mitigated at a minimum 1:1 ratio, considering acreage as well as function and value. Success criteria for preserved and compensatory populations will include:	
		 The extent of occupied area and plant density (number of plants per unit area) in compensatory populations will be equal to or greater than the affected occupied habitat. 	
		 Compensatory and preserved populations will be self-producing. Populations will be considered self-producing when: 	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		 plants reestablish annually for a minimum of five years with no human intervention such as supplemental seeding; and 	
		 reestablished and preserved habitats contain an occupied area and flower density comparable to existing occupied habitat areas in similar habitat types in the project vicinity. 	
		 If off-site mitigation includes dedication of conservation easements, purchase of mitigation credits, or other off-site conservation measures, the details of these measures will be included in the mitigation plan, including information on responsible parties for long-term management, conservation easement holders, long-term management requirements, success criteria such as those listed above and other details, as appropriate to target the preservation of long term viable populations. 	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-1c: Implement Measures to Avoid Introduction or Spread of Invasive Plant Species and Plant Pathogens The following measures shall be implemented prior to vegetation removal and ground disturbance activities to avoid the introduction or spread of plants classified as invasive plant species by the California Invasive Plant Council and plant pathogens including Sudden Oak Death:	
		▶ UC Santa Cruz shall develop educational information (e.g., brochures, pamphlets) regarding invasive plants and Sudden Oak Death, the implication of the spread of invasive plants and plant pathogens, and proper sanitation practices to prevent the spread of invasive plants and plant pathogens. Construction crews and crews conducting vegetation removal will be provided with this information and instruction from a qualified professional (e.g., arborist, biologist) prior to working in infested or potentially infested areas and will be required to abide by the sanitation practices therein.	
		Prior to work within areas with species susceptible to Sudden Oak Death, UC Santa Cruz shall retain a qualified professional (e.g., arborist, biologist) who will assess the risk of project activities and will identify and implement measures to reduce or avoid the risk of pathogen spread, including quarantine areas and proper measures for disposal of infested materials (e.g., branches, split wood, wood chips).	

	► Sanitation and prevention measures implemented by UC Santa Cruz or by contractors as specified in contract specifications to reduce or avoid the risk
	of pathogen spread or proliferation of invasive plant species shall include, but not be limited to, the following and will be further developed and updated based on the best available science and project-specific conditions: Crews that will be working in infested or potentially infested areas will be provided with or required to carry sanitation kits. Sanitation kits will contain the following: Chlorine bleach [10/90 mixture bleach to water] or
	Clorox Clean-up or Lysol, scrub brush, metal scraper, boot brush, and plastic gloves. Shoes, pruning gear, and other equipment will be sanitized using the
	above-mentioned materials before and after working in areas with species susceptible to Sudden Oak Death.
	 Clothing, footwear, and equipment used during project activities will be cleaned of soil, seeds, vegetation, or other debris or seed-bearing material before entering the project site or when leaving an area with infestations of invasive plants and noxious weeds.
	Heavy equipment and other machinery used in areas with infestations of invasive plant species or Sudden Oak Death will be inspected for the presence of invasive species before use on the project site and will be cleaned before entering the site, to reduce the risk of introducing invasive plant species or plant pathogens.
	 Equipment will be staged in areas free of invasive plant infestations.
Impact 3.5-2: Result in Disturbance to or Loss of Special-Status Wildlife Species and Habitat	Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a (above): Conduct Project-Level Biological Reconnaissance Sensitive Species and Habitats Survey
Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would include land use conversion and development activities including ground disturbance, vegetation removal, and overall conversion of wildlife habitat, which could result in disturbance, injury, or mortality of several special-status wildlife species if present, reduced breeding productivity of these species, and loss of species habitat. This would be a potentially significant impact. NI = No impact	Mitigation Measure 3.5-2a: Conduct Site-Specific Habitat Suitability Analysis for California Red-Legged Frog, Obtain Incidental Take Authorization through Consultation with USFWS, Implement Minimization Measures If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that aquatic or upland habitat determined to be suitable for California red-legged frog migration, dispersal, foraging, or refuge is present within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented during the planning stages for each individual project under the 2021 LRDP: ▶ A qualified biologist will conduct a site-specific habitat suitability verification analysis to confirm the likelihood of the species to be present. To be solution of the species to be present. To be

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
Impacts	before	qualified, the biologist will: 1) be knowledgeable in California red-legged frog life history and ecology, 2) be able to correctly identify California red-legged frogs and habitats, 3) have experience conducting field surveys of relevant resources, 4) be knowledgeable about state and federal laws regarding the protection of special-status species, and 5) have experience using CDFW's CNDDB. The habitat assessment will include, but will not be limited to: Identification or verification of the vegetation communities present in the project site. Consideration of known occurrences within the LRDP area; Description of the project, including proposed project construction activities; Analysis of the type and likelihood of impacts on California red-legged frog as a result of project implementation; and Potential project modifications or additional measures that may avoid and minimize mortality, injury, and disturbance of California red-legged frog and habitat. Results of the site-specific habitat suitability verification analysis will be submitted to UC Santa Cruz for review and consideration. Based on the results of the site-specific habitat suitability verification analysis, a qualified biologist will determine if any of the following would occur: injury or mortality of California red-legged frog; or disturbance of individuals or adverse effects on California red-legged frog breeding, upland refugia, or	after
		 dispersal habitat. If a qualified biologist determines that the individual project would have no substantial adverse effect on red-legged frog or its habitat and would not result in any injury or mortality, implementation of that individual project may proceed. For those areas where adverse modification of critical habitat or disturbance, injury, or mortality of California red-legged frog cannot be avoided, UC Santa Cruz shall, in consultation with USFWS, implement impact minimization for construction-related impacts (e.g., installation of exclusion fencing around the project construction site) and compensatory actions for habitat impacts, including purchase of credits at a conservation bank or creation of additional habitat at a minimum 1:1 	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		mitigation ratio, as well as adaptive management strategies to ensure long-term conservation of mitigation lands. No actions that could adversely affect California red-legged frog will be allowed if adverse effects would result, unless consultation with USFWS is completed and additional measures are implemented.	
		To the extent the project may result in "take" of the species, UC Santa Cruz shall pursue incidental take coverage by either pursuing consultation and biological opinion under Section 7 of the federal ESA (where there is some federal nexus) or by developing a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP), which would require authorization by USFWS under Section 10 of the ESA. Such an HCP could provide long-term conservation and incidental take coverage for species listed under ESA with potential to occur in the LRDP area: California red-legged frog and Ohlone tiger beetle. Typically, HCPs include the following:	
		► Measures that UC Santa Cruz will undertake to monitor, minimize, and mitigate for such impacts, the funding available to implement such measures, and the procedures to deal with unforeseen or extraordinary circumstances.	
		Alternative actions to the taking analyzed by UC Santa Cruz, and the reasons why the alternatives were not adopted.	
		▶ Biological goals and objectives, which would define the expected biological outcome for each species covered by the HCP.	
		Adaptive management, which includes methods for addressing uncertainty and also monitoring and feedback to biological goals and objectives.	
		► Monitoring for compliance, effectiveness, and effects.	
		Permit duration which is determined by the time-span of the project and designed to provide the time needed to achieve biological goals and address biological uncertainty.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2b: Conduct Preconstruction Surveys for Special-Status Amphibians and Implement Avoidance Measures If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that habitat suitable for California giant salamander, foothill yellow-legged frog, or Santa Cruz black salamander is present within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented no more than 48 hours prior to commencement of project activities (e.g., vegetation removal, ground disturbance, staging) of a project under the 2021 LRDP:	

S = Significant

Draft EIR ES-26 NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

LTS = Less than significant

SU = Significant and unavoidable

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		 A qualified biologist familiar with the life cycle of California giant salamander, foothill yellow-legged frog, and Santa Cruz black salamander will conduct preconstruction surveys within the project site. Preconstruction surveys for special-status amphibian species will be conducted throughout the project site and a 400-foot buffer around the project site. Surveys will consist of "walk and turn" surveys of areas beneath surface objects (e.g., rocks, leaf litter, moss mats, coarse woody debris) for salamanders, and visual searches for frogs. Preconstruction surveys will be conducted within the appropriate season to maximize potential for observation for each species, and appropriate surveys will be conducted for the applicable life stages (i.e., eggs, larvae, adults). If special-status amphibians are not detected during the preconstruction survey, the qualified biologist will submit a report summarizing the results of the survey to UC Santa Cruz, and further mitigation will not be required. If special-status amphibians are detected during the preconstruction survey, UC Santa Cruz shall, in consultation with CDFW, develop and institute, at a minimum, project design modifications (e.g., specific building materials and surfacing requirements), relocation of individual animals, installation of exclusionary fencing, and/or other measures recommended by CDFW as necessary to ensure that no injury to or mortality of these species would occur. If "take" of foothill yellow-legged frog under CESA is unavoidable, UC Santa Cruz shall seek and obtain an incidental take permit from CDFW and implement any additional measures necessary to minimize, compensate for, and fully mitigate impacts on foothill yellow-legged frog. These additional measures shall include, at a minimum, some combination of the following measures: installation of exclusion fencing around project sites, purchase of credits at a conservation bank, creation of additional habitat, and/or adaptive management s	
		Mitigation Measure 3.10-5a: Implement Procedures for Building on Karst Where Groundwater Is Encountered and Where Pressure Grouting Is Required	
		(Refer to Section 3.10, "Hydrology and Water Quality")	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2c: Conduct Preconstruction Surveys for Southwestern Pond Turtle, Implement Avoidance Measures, and Relocate Individuals If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that aquatic or upland habitat suitable for southwestern pond turtle is present or that southwestern pond turtle was otherwise determined to be historically present	

LTS = Less than significant PS = Potential significant

S = Significant

SU = Significant and unavoidable

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented no more than 48 hours prior to commencement of project activities (e.g., vegetation removal, ground disturbance, staging) of a project under the 2021 LRDP:	
		A qualified biologist familiar with the life history of southwestern pond turtle and experienced in performing surveys for southwestern pond turtle will conduct a focused survey of habitat suitable for the species within the project site. If aquatic habitat potentially suitable for the species is present within a project site (e.g., streams, ponds, drainages), upland habitat within approximately 1,600 feet of this aquatic habitat will also be surveyed. The qualified biologist will inspect the project site for southwestern pond turtles as well as suitable burrow habitat.	
		If southwestern pond turtles are not detected during the focused survey, the qualified biologist will submit a report summarizing the results of the survey to UC Santa Cruz, and further mitigation will not be required.	
		If southwestern pond turtles are detected, a no-disturbance buffer of at least 100 feet will be established around any identified nest sites or overwintering sites. A qualified biologist with an appropriate CDFW Scientific Collecting Permit that allows handling of reptiles will be present during initial ground disturbance activities and will inspect the project site before initiation of project activities. If southwestern pond turtles are detected, the qualified biologist will move the turtles downstream and out of harm's way.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2d: Conduct Preconstruction Surveys for Coast Horned Lizard, Implement Avoidance Measures, and Relocate Individuals If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that habitat suitable for coast horned lizard (e.g., chaparral, coyote brush) is present within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented no more than 48 hours prior to commencement of project activities (e.g., vegetation removal, ground disturbance, staging) of a particular project under the 2021 LRDP:	
		A qualified biologist familiar with the life history of coast horned lizard and experienced in performing surveys for the species will conduct a focused visual survey of habitat suitable for the species within the project site, which will include walking linear transects of the project site.	
		► If coast horned lizards are not detected during the focused survey, the qualified biologist will submit a report summarizing the results of the survey to UC Santa Cruz, and further mitigation will not be required.	
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signific	cant PS = 1	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		If coast horned lizards are detected, a qualified biologist with an appropriate CDFW Scientific Collecting Permit that allows handling of reptiles will be present during initial ground disturbance activities and will inspect the project site before initiation of project activities. If coast horned lizards are detected, the qualified biologist will move the lizards into nearby habitat and out of harm's way.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2e: Conduct Protocol-Level Surveys for Burrowing Owl, Implement Avoidance Measures, and Compensate for Loss of Occupied Burrows If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that habitat suitable for burrowing owl is present within a project site, the following measures shall be implemented prior to and during construction of a particular project under the 2021 LRDP:	
		A qualified biologist will conduct focused breeding and nonbreeding season surveys for burrowing owls in areas of habitat suitable for the species identified during the reconnaissance-level survey (e.g., ruderal grassland, successional grassland, scrub habitat with sparse shrub cover) on and within 1,500 feet of the project site. Surveys will be conducted before the start of project activities and in accordance with Appendix D of the CDFW Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (CDFW 2012, or most current version) (CDFW Staff Report).	
		If no occupied burrows are found, the qualified biologist will submit a report documenting the survey methods and results to UC Santa Cruz, and no further mitigation will be required.	
		If an active burrow is found within 1,500 feet of pending construction activities that would occur during the nonbreeding season (September 1 through January 31), UC Santa Cruz shall establish and maintain a minimum protection buffer of 165 feet around the occupied burrow throughout construction. The protection buffer may be adjusted if, in consultation with CDFW, a qualified biologist determines that an alternative buffer will not disturb burrowing owl use of the burrow because of particular site features or other buffering measures. If occupied burrows are present that cannot be avoided or adequately protected with a no-disturbance buffer, a burrowing owl exclusion plan will be developed, as described in Appendix E of the CDFW Staff Report. Burrowing owls will not be excluded from occupied burrows until the project burrowing owl exclusion plan is approved by CDFW. The exclusion plan will include a compensatory habitat mitigation plan (see below).	

S = Significant

SU = Significant and unavoidable

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

LTS = Less than significant

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		If an active burrow is found during the breeding season (February 1 through August 31), occupied burrows will not be disturbed and will be provided with a protective buffer at a minimum of 650 feet unless a qualified biologist verifies through noninvasive means that either: (1) the birds have not begun egg laying, or (2) juveniles from the occupied burrows are foraging independently and are capable of independent survival. The size of the buffer may be adjusted depending on the time of year and level of disturbance as outlined in the CDFW Staff Report. The size of the buffer may be reduced if a broad-scale, long-term, monitoring program acceptable to CDFW is implemented so that burrowing owls are not adversely affected. Once the fledglings are capable of independent survival, the owls can be evicted and the burrow can be destroyed per the terms of a CDFW-approved burrowing owl exclusion plan developed in accordance with Appendix E of CDFW Staff Report.	
		If burrowing owls are evicted from burrows and the burrows are destroyed by implementation of project activities, UC Santa Cruz will mitigate the loss of occupied habitat in accordance with guidance provided in the CDFW Staff Report, which states that permanent impacts on nesting, occupied and satellite burrows, and burrowing owl habitat (i.e., grassland habitat with suitable burrows) will be mitigated such that habitat acreage and number of burrows are replaced through permanent conservation of comparable or better habitat with similar vegetation communities and burrowing mammals (e.g., ground squirrels) present to provide for nesting, foraging, wintering, and dispersal. UC Santa Cruz will retain a qualified biologist to develop a burrowing owl mitigation and management plan that incorporates the following goals and standards:	
		 Mitigation lands will be selected based on comparison of the habitat lost to the compensatory habitat, including type and structure of habitat, disturbance levels, potential for conflicts with humans, pets, and other wildlife, density of burrowing owls, and relative importance of the habitat to the species throughout its range. 	
		If feasible, mitigation lands will be provided adjacent or proximate to the project site so that displaced owls can relocate with reduced risk of injury or mortality. Feasibility of providing mitigation adjacent or proximate to the project site depends on availability of sufficient habitat to support displaced owls that may be preserved in perpetuity.	

Impacts	Significano before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		 If habitat suitable for burrowing owl is not available for conservation adjacent or proximate to the project site, mitigation lands can be secured off-site and will aim to consolidate and enlarge conservation areas outside of planned development areas and within foraging distance of other conservation lands. Mitigation may be also accomplished through purchase of mitigation credits at a CDFW-approved mitigation bank, if available. Alternative mitigation sites and acreages may also be determined in consultation with CDFW. If burrowing owl habitat mitigation is completed through permittee-responsible conservation lands, the mitigation plan will include mitigation objectives, site selection factors, site management roles and responsibilities, vegetation management goals, financial assurances and funding mechanisms, performance standards and success criteria, monitoring and reporting protocols, and adaptive management measures (e.g., measures required if performance standards and success criteria are not met). Success will be based on the number of adult burrowing owls and pairs using the site and if the numbers are maintained over time. Measures of success, as suggested in the CDFW Staff Report, will include site tenacity, number of adult owls present and reproducing, colonization by burrowing owls from elsewhere, changes in distribution, and trends in stressors. Mitigation Measure 3.5-2f: Conduct Focused Surveys for Special-Status Birds, Nesting Raptors, and Other Native Nesting Birds and Implement Protective Buffers If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that habitat suitable for nesting birds is present within a project site, the following measures shall be implemented prior to and during construction of a project under the 2021 LRDP: To minimize the potential for loss of special-status bird species, raptors, and other native birds, project activities (e.g., tree removal, other vegetation removal, ground disturbance, staging)	Mitigation
NI = No impact B = Beneficial	LTS = Less than significant PS =	qualified biologist), a qualified biologist familiar with birds of California and with experience conducting nesting bird surveys will conduct focused surveys = Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		for special-status birds, other nesting raptors, and other native birds and will identify active nests within 0.5 mile of the project site. Pacause the nests of olive-sided flycatcher, yellow warbler, and yellow-breasted chat are small and difficult to find, occupancy of habitat suitable for these species (i.e., riparian woodland) for these species will be determined by a qualified biologist familiar with the life history of olive-sided flycatcher, yellow warbler, and yellow-breasted chat and with experience identifying the calls of these species. If olive-sided flycatcher, yellow warblers, or yellow-breasted chats are observed calling, exhibiting territorial displays, carrying nest materials, carrying prey, or other signs of breeding behavior, the habitat will be considered occupied. This protocol for determining occupancy of a nest may be extended to other bird species with nests that are difficult to locate at the discretion of the qualified biologist. Impacts on nesting birds will be avoided by establishing appropriate buffers around active nest sites identified during focused surveys to prevent disturbance to the nest. Project activity will not commence within the buffer areas until a qualified biologist has determined that the young have fledged, the nest is no longer active, or reducing the buffer will not likely result in nest abandonment. An avoidance buffer of a minimum of 0.25 mile will be implemented for American peregrine falcon, bald eagle, golden eagle, and white-tailed kite, in consultation with CDFW. For other species, a qualified biologist will determine the size of the buffer for non-raptor nests after a site-and nest-specific analysis. Buffers typically will be 500 feet for raptors (other than special-status raptors) and 100 feet for non-raptor species. Factors to be considered for determining buffer size will include presence of natural buffers provided by vegetation or topography, nest height above ground, baseline levels of noise and human activity, species sensitivity, and proposed pro	
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signifi	cant PS = F	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		Removal of bald eagle and golden eagle nests is prohibited regardless of the occupancy status under the federal Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. If bald eagle or golden eagle nests are found during focused surveys, then the nest will not be removed.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2g: Limit Human Disturbance of Cave Ecosystems UC Santa Cruz shall continue to limit visitation of caves on campus and discourage activities by members of the public that could jeopardize the physical integrity, condition, or scientific value of the caves, through exclusion of access to the caves with bat-friendly fencing (i.e., fencing that allows unimpeded ingress and egress by bats), appropriate signage and educational literature, Campus Natural Reserve website information, or other appropriate measures.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2h: Conduct Focused Surveys for Monarch Overwintering Colonies and Implement Avoidance Measures If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that a monarch overwintering colony or suitable overwintering habitat is present within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented:	
		To minimize the potential for loss of monarch overwintering colonies, project activities that include vegetation removal within suitable overwintering habitat (e.g., coniferous forest, eucalyptus forest) will be conducted from April through September to avoid the overwintering season (October through March), if feasible. If project activities are conducted outside of the overwintering season, no further mitigation will be required.	
		▶ Within 14 days before the onset of project activities that include vegetation removal between October 1st and March 31st, a qualified biologist familiar with monarchs and monarch overwintering habitat will conduct focused surveys for monarch colonies within habitat suitable for the species in the project site and will identify any colonies found within the project site.	
		▶ Monarch overwintering colonies that are identified within a project site will be demarcated with flagging or high-visibility construction fencing to prevent removal of the stand of trees containing the overwintering colony and encroachment by heavy machinery, vehicles, or personnel. Monarch overwintering colonies shall be protected throughout the duration of their presence within a project site.	
		If modification or removal of a where overwintering monarchs have been identified overwintering colony is required for project implementation, and	

S = Significant

SU = Significant and unavoidable

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

LTS = Less than significant

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		the project cannot be redesigned to avoid modification or removal of the stand, then UC Santa Cruz will prepare and implement a site-specific plan for the stand with the goal of maintaining habitat function for the monarch overwintering colony, following recommendations from <i>Protecting California's Butterfly Groves Management Guidelines for Monarch Butterfly Overwintering Habitat</i> (Xerces 2017). Examples of management strategies that could be considered include:	
		remove or trim hazard trees;	
		 selectively remove or trim of trees to create a heterogeneous habitat that provides access to sunlight and shade for monarchs; 	
		 maintain suitable wind protection in the stand; and 	
		 replace removed trees with native trees in strategic locations to provide additional wind protection. 	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2i: Conduct Site-Specific Habitat Suitability Analysis for Ohlone Tiger Beetle, Obtain Incidental Take Authorization through Consultation with USFWS, Implement Minimization Measures If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that habitat suitable for Ohlone tiger beetle is present within a particular project site (i.e., grassland or coastal prairie with Watsonville soils, Figure 3.5-8), the following measures shall be implemented during the planning stages of a project under the 2021 LRDP:	
		A qualified biologist will conduct a site-specific habitat suitability verification analysis within a project site to determine the likelihood of the species to be present. To be qualified, the biologist will: 1) be knowledgeable in Ohlone tiger beetle life history and ecology, 2) be able to correctly identify Ohlone tiger beetles and habitats, 3) have experience conducting field surveys of relevant resources, 4) be knowledgeable about state and federal laws regarding the protection of special-status species, and 5) have experience using CDFW's CNDDB. The habitat assessment will include, but will not be limited to:	
		 Identification or verification of the vegetation communities present in the project site. 	
		 Consideration of known occurrences within the LRDP area; 	
		Description of the project, including proposed project construction	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		 Analysis of the type and likelihood of impacts on Ohlone tiger beetle as a result of project implementation; and 	
		 Potential project modifications or additional measures that may avoid and minimize mortality, injury, and disturbance of Ohlone tiger beetle and habitat. 	
		Results of the site-specific habitat suitability verification analysis will be submitted to UC Santa Cruz for review and consideration.	
		▶ Based on the results of the site-specific habitat suitability verification analysis, a qualified biologist will determine if any of the following would occur: loss of habitat function for Ohlone tiger beetle; injury or mortality of Ohlone tiger beetle; or disturbance of Ohlone tiger beetle that could substantially disrupt essential behavior patterns (e.g., breeding, feeding, or sheltering) to such an extent that injury or mortality is likely.	
		 If a qualified biologist determines that the individual project would have no substantial adverse effect on Ohlone tiger beetle or its habitat and would not result in any injury or mortality, implementation of that individual project may proceed. 	
		For those areas where disturbance, injury, or mortality of Ohlone tiger beetle cannot be avoided, UC Santa Cruz shall, in consultation with USFWS, implement impact minimization (e.g., preconstruction surveys and biological monitoring) and compensatory actions, including purchase of credits at a conservation bank, creation of additional habitat, and adaptive management strategies. No actions that could adversely affect Ohlone tiger beetle will be allowed if adverse effects would result, unless consultation with USFWS is completed and additional measures, as required by USFWS, are implemented.	
		To the extent the project may result in "take" of the species, UC Santa Cruz may pursue incidental take coverage either by pursuing consultation and biological opinion under Section 7 of the federal ESA (where there is some federal nexus) or by developing an HCP, as described in Mitigation Measure 3.5-2a, which would require authorization by USFWS under Section 10 of the ESA. Such an HCP would provide incidental take coverage for species listed under ESA with potential to occur in the LRDP area: California red-legged frog and Ohlone tiger beetle. Typically, HCPs include the following elements, among others:	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
Impacts		 ▶ Measures that UC Santa Cruz will undertake to monitor, minimize, and mitigate for such impacts, the funding available to implement such measures, and the procedures to deal with unforeseen or extraordinary circumstances. ▶ Additional measures that USFWS may require. ▶ Biological goals and objectives, which would define the expected biological outcome for each species covered by the HCP. ▶ Adaptive management, which includes methods for addressing uncertainty and also monitoring and feedback to biological goals and objectives. ▶ Monitoring for compliance, effectiveness, and effects. ▶ Permit duration which is determined by the time-span of the project and designed to provide the time needed to achieve biological goals and address biological uncertainty. Mitigation Measure 3.5-2j: Conduct Focused American Badger Survey and Establish Protective Buffers If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that habitat suitable for American badger is present within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented: ▶ Within 30 days before commencement of project activities, a qualified wildlife biologist with familiarity with American badger and experience using survey methods for the species will conduct focused surveys of habitat suitable for the species within the project site to identify any American badger dens. ▶ If occupied dens are not found, the qualified biologist will submit a report summarizing the results of the survey to UC Santa Cruz, and further mitigation will not be required. ▶ If occupied dens are found, impacts on active badger dens will be avoided by establishing exclusion zones around all active badger dens, the size of which will be determined by the qualified biologist. No project activities (e.g., vegetation removal, ground disturbance, staging) will occur within the exclusion zone until denning activities ar	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2k: Conduct Focused Noninvasive Surveys for Mountain Lion Dens and Implement Avoidance Measures If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that den habitat potentially suitable for mountain lion is present within a particular project site (e.g., caves, other large natural cavities, thickets) or signs of mountain lion activities are observed (e.g., tracks, scat, carcasses or bones of prey species), the following measures shall be implemented to avoid take of mountain lions or destruction of den habitat: Within 30 days before commencement of project activities, a qualified wildlife	
		biologist with familiarity with mountain lion and experience using survey methods for the species will conduct focused surveys of habitat suitable for the species within the project site to identify any potential mountain lion dens. Potential mountain lion dens will include caves, large natural cavities within rocky areas, or thickets deemed appropriate for use by mountain lions based on size and other characteristics (e.g., proximity to human development, surrounding habitat). The qualified wildlife biologist will also survey for signs of mountain lion (e.g., tracks, scat, prey items) in the vicinity of the cave, cavity, or thicket to help determine whether the den may be occupied by mountain lions. If the start of project activities lapses and more than 30 days pass since the survey was completed, an additional survey shall be conducted.	
		If no potential dens are found, the qualified biologist will submit a report summarizing the results of the survey to UC Santa Cruz, and no further mitigation will be required.	
		If potential dens are found, further investigation will be required to determine if the den is being used by a mountain lion or another carnivore species (e.g., coyote [Canis latrans], bobcat [Lynx rufus], gray fox [<i>Urocyon cinreoargenteus</i>]). Survey methods will include the use of trail cameras, track plates, hair snares, or other noninvasive methods. Surveys using these noninvasive methods will be conducted for three days and three nights to determine whether the den is occupied by mountain lions.	
		 If the den is determined to be unoccupied by any carnivore species, the qualified biologist will submit a report summarizing the results of the survey to UC Santa Cruz, and no further mitigation will be required. 	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		 If the den is determined to be unoccupied by mountain lion, but is occupied by another carnivore species, the den will not be disturbed while the young of any species are dependent on the den for shelter. If the den is determined to be occupied by mountain lion, a nodisturbance buffer of at least 2,000 feet will be established around the occupied den within which no project activities will occur, and UC Santa Cruz will notify and consult with CDFW to identify additional adequate seasonal restrictions and/or no disturbance buffers to avoid disturbance, injury, or mortality of mountain lion. Mitigation Measure 3.5-2I: Conduct Focused Surveys for Ringtail If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that habitat suitable for ringtail is present within a particular project site (e.g., forest or chaparral habitat within 0.6 mile of a permanent water source), the following measures shall be implemented: 	
		 To minimize the potential for loss of ringtail and active ringtail dens, project activities (e.g., tree removal, other vegetation removal, ground disturbance, staging) within potentially suitable ringtail habitat will be conducted outside of the ringtail breeding season (not well defined, but likely approximately March 1 to July 31), if feasible. Within seven days before initiation of project activities within potentially suitable ringtail habitat, a qualified biologist with familiarity with ringtail and experience conducting ringtail surveys will conduct a focused survey for potential ringtail dens (e.g., hollow trees, snags, rock crevices) within the project site. The qualified biologist will identify sightings of individual ringtails, 	
		 as well as potential dens. If individuals or potential or occupied dens are not found, the qualified biologist will submit a report summarizing the results of the survey to UC Santa Cruz, and further mitigation will not be required. 	
		▶ If ringtails are identified or if potential dens are located, an appropriate method will be used by the qualified wildlife biologist to confirm whether a ringtail is occupying the den. This may include use of remote field cameras, track plates, or hair snares. Other devices, such as a fiber optic scope, may be utilized to determine occupancy.	
		 If no ringtail occupies the potential den, the entrance will be temporarily blocked so that no other animals occupy the project site during project 	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		activities, but only after it has been fully inspected. The blockage will be removed once the project activities are completed.	
		If a den is found to be occupied by a ringtail, a no-disturbance buffer will be established around the occupied den. The no-disturbance buffer will include the den tree (or other structure) plus a suitable buffer as determined by the biologist in coordination with CDFW. Project activities in the no-disturbance buffer will be avoided until the den is unoccupied as determined by the qualified wildlife biologist in coordination with CDFW.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-2m: Conduct Focused Surveys for San Francisco Dusky-Footed Woodrat, Implement Avoidance Measures, or Relocate Nests If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that habitat suitable for San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat is present within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented:	
		▶ Within seven days before initiation of project activities, a qualified biologist with familiarity with woodrats and experience conducting woodrat surveys will conduct a focused survey for San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat nests within the project site.	
		If no woodrat nests are found during the focused survey, the qualified biologist will submit a report summarizing the results of the survey to UC Santa Cruz, and no further mitigation will be required.	
		If woodrat nests are detected within the project site, the qualified biologist will determine whether the nest is active. The status of a nest is typically determined through the presence of large amounts of scat. If active woodrat nests are present that can be avoided, the perimeter of these nests will be demarcated with high-visibility construction fencing to prevent accidental encroachment by vehicles, equipment, or personnel.	
		▶ If active woodrat nests within a project site are detected that cannot be avoided, and project activities are planned to occur during the woodrat breeding season (April through June), these active nests must be avoided until the end of the breeding season.	
		If active woodrat nests within a project site cannot be avoided, and project activities are planned to occur outside of the woodrat breeding season, a qualified biologist in consultation with CDFW will dismantle the woodrat nest by hand, removing the materials layer by layer to allow adult woodrats to escape. If young are discovered during the disassembling process, the	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
Impacts	before	qualified biologist will leave the area for at least 24 hours to allow the adult woodrats to relocate their young on their own. ▶ When the disassembly process is completed, the nest materials will be collected and moved to another suitable nearby location to allow for nest reconstruction. Mitigation Measure 3.5-2n: Conduct Focused Bat Surveys and Implement Avoidance Measures If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that suitable roost habitat for pallid bat, Townsend's big-eared bat, and western red bat is present within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented: ▶ In the early planning stages of individual projects under the 2021 LRDP, a qualified biologist with familiarity with bats and bat ecology, and experienced in conducting bat surveys will conduct surveys for bat roosts in suitable habitat (e.g., large trees, crevices, cavities, exfoliating bark, bridges, unoccupied buildings) within and adjacent to the particular project site. ▶ If no evidence of bat roosts is found, the qualified biologist will submit a report summarizing the results of the survey to UC Santa Cruz, and no further study will be required. ▶ If evidence of bat roosts is observed, the species and number of bats using the roost will be determined. Bat detectors shall be used if deemed necessary to supplement survey efforts by the qualified biologist. ▶ A no-disturbance buffer of 250 feet will be established around active pallid bat, Townsend's big-eared bat, or western red bat roosts, and project activities will not occur within this buffer until after the roosts are unoccupied. ▶ If roosts of pallid bat, Townsend's big-eared bat, or western red bat are determined to be present and must be removed, the bats will be excluded from the roosting site before the tree, building, or other structure is removed. A program addressing compensation, exclusion methods, and roost removal procedures will be developed in consultation with CDFW before implementation. Exclusion methods may	after
		entrances (bats may leave but not reenter) or sealing roost entrances when the site can be confirmed to contain no bats. Exclusion efforts may be restricted during periods of sensitive activity (e.g., during hibernation or while females in maternity colonies are nursing young). The loss of each roost (if any) will be replaced in consultation with CDFW and may require construction and	

Draft EIR ES-40 NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

S = Significant

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		installation of bat boxes suitable to the bat species and colony size excluded from the original roosting site. If determined necessary during consultation with CDFW, replacement roosts will be implemented before bats are excluded from the original roost sites. Once the replacement roosts are constructed and it is confirmed that bats are not present in the original roost site by a qualified biologist, the roost tree, building, or other structure may be removed.	
Impact 3.5-3: Result in Degradation or Loss of Riparian Habitat or Other Sensitive Natural Communities Implementation of projects under the 2021 LRDP would include potential land use conversion and development activities including ground disturbance, vegetation removal, and land development, which could result in the degradation or loss of riparian habitat, other sensitive natural communities, or ESHAs, or the reduction in the function of these habitats, if present. This would be a potentially significant impact.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a (above): Conduct Project-Level Biological Reconnaissance Sensitive Species and Habitats Survey Mitigation Measure 3.5-1c: (above) Implement Measures to Avoid Introduction or Spread of Invasive Plant Species and Plant Pathogens Mitigation Measure 3.5-3a: Conduct Protocol-Level Surveys for Sensitive Natural Communities and Riparian Habitat and Implement Avoidance Measures If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-3.5-1a that sensitive natural communities or riparian habitat may be present within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented before implementation of project activities: ▶ A qualified botanist will perform a protocol-level survey of the project site for sensitive natural communities and sensitive habitats (including riparian habitat and ESHAs) following the CDFW's Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities (CDFW 2018). Sensitive natural communities will be identified using the best available and current data, including keying them out using the most current edition of A Manual of California Vegetation (including updated natural communities data at http://vegetation.cnps.org/), or referring to relevant reports (e.g., reports found on the VegCAMP website). ▶ Before implementation of project activities, development setbacks will be established around all sensitive habitats identified during surveys, and these setbacks will be flagged or fenced with brightly visible construction flagging and/or fencing under the direction of the qualified biologist and no project activities (e.g., vegetation removal (including herbicide application), ground disturbance, staging) will occur within these areas. Setback distances will be dependent on various factors (e.g., presence of special-status wildlife or plant species) and determined by a qualified biologist in consultation with the appropriate agency (e.g., CDFW, CCC), but will generally be at minimum of 5	LTS

S = Significant

SU = Significant and unavoidable

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		introduction of invasive or weedy species or inadvertent crushing of plants. Periodic inspections during construction will be conducted by the monitoring biologist to maintain the integrity of exclusion fencing/flagging throughout the period of construction involving ground disturbance.	
		If sensitive natural communities are identified within a project site that cannot be avoided, Mitigation Measure 3.5-3b shall apply.	
		▶ If project implementation cannot avoid and thus may adversely affect the bed, bank, channel, or associated riparian habitat subject to CDFW jurisdiction under California Fish and Game Code Section 1602, Mitigation Measure 3.5-3c shall apply.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-3b: Compensate for Unavoidable Loss of Sensitive Natural Communities If after implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-3a sensitive natural communities are determined to be present within a particular project site and these habitats cannot be avoided, the following measures shall be implemented:	
		Compensate for unavoidable loss of any sensitive natural community habitat function such that no net loss of habitat function occurs by:	
		 restoring sensitive natural community habitat function within the project site (e.g., using locally collected seed or cuttings); 	
		 restoring degraded sensitive natural communities outside of the project site at a sufficient ratio to offset the loss of habitat function (at least 3:1 for coastal prairie and at least 1:1 for other sensitive natural communities); or 	
		preserving existing sensitive natural communities of equal or better value to the sensitive natural community affected through a conservation easement at a sufficient ratio to offset the loss of habitat function (at least 3:1 for coastal prairie and at least 1:1 for other sensitive natural communities).	
		► Prepare and implement a Compensatory Mitigation Plan that includes the following:	
		 For preserving existing habitat outside of the project site in perpetuity, the Compensatory Mitigation Plan will include a summary of the proposed compensation lands (e.g., the number and type of credits, location of mitigation bank or easement), parties responsible for the 	

S = Significant

Draft EIR ES-42 NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

SU = Significant and unavoidable

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		long-term management of the land, and the legal and funding mechanism for long-term conservation (e.g., holder of conservation easement or fee title). UC Santa Cruz will provide evidence in the plan that the necessary mitigation has been implemented or that UC Santa Cruz has entered into a legal agreement to implement it and that compensatory habitat will be preserved in perpetuity.	
		For restoring or enhancing habitat within the project site or outside of the project site, the Compensatory Mitigation Plan will include a description of the proposed habitat improvements, success criteria that demonstrate the performance standard of maintained habitat function has been met, legal and funding mechanisms, and parties responsible for long-term management and monitoring of the restored or enhanced habitat.	
		 Success criteria required to maintain habitat function for preserved and compensatory populations would include: 	
		 The extent of occupied area and density of plants associated with the sensitive natural community (number of plants per unit area) in compensatory habitats would be equal to or greater than the affected occupied habitat. 	
		 Compensatory and preserved sensitive natural communities would be self-producing. Populations would be considered self- producing when: 	
		 Plants associated with sensitive natural communities reestablish annually for a minimum of five years with no human intervention such as supplemental seeding; and 	
		 Reestablished and preserved habitats contain an occupied area and density comparable to existing occupied habitat areas in similar habitat types in the project vicinity. 	
		Impacts on sensitive natural communities considered ESHAs within the coastal zone will require a coastal development permit pursuant to the CCA and compliance with any requirements therein.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.5-3c: Compensate for Unavoidable Loss of Riparian Habitat If after implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-3a riparian habitat is determined to be present within a particular project site and the habitat cannot be avoided, the following measures shall be implemented:	

PS = Potential significant

S = Significant

SU = Significant and unavoidable

UC Santa Cruz 2021 Long Range Development Plan EIR

NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

LTS = Less than significant

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures aft	icance iter gation
		 A Streambed Alteration Notification will be submitted to CDFW, pursuant to Section 1602 of the California Fish and Game Code. If proposed project activities are determined to be subject to CDFW jurisdiction, UC Santa Cruz will abide by the measures to protect fish and wildlife resources required by any executed agreement prior to any vegetation removal or activity that may affect the resource. Measures to protect fish and wildlife resources shall include, at a minimum, a combination of the following mitigation. ▶ UC Santa Cruz will compensate for the loss of riparian habitat such that no 	
		 net loss of habitat function and values occurs by: restoring riparian habitat function and value within the project site; restoring degraded riparian habitat outside of the project site; purchasing riparian habitat credits at a CDFW-approved mitigation bank; or preserving existing riparian habitat of equal or better value to the affected riparian habitat through a conservation easement at a sufficient ratio to offset the loss of riparian habitat function (at least 1:1). 	
		 UC Santa Cruz will prepare and implement a Compensatory Mitigation Plan that will include the following: For preserving existing riparian habitat outside of the project site in perpetuity, the Compensatory Mitigation Plan will include a summary of the proposed compensation lands (e.g., the number and type of credits, location of mitigation bank or easement), parties responsible for the long-term management of the land, and the legal and funding mechanism for long-term conservation (e.g., holder of conservation easement or fee title). UC Santa Cruz will provide evidence in the plan that the necessary mitigation has been implemented or that UC Santa Cruz has entered into a legal agreement to implement it and that compensatory habitat will be preserved in perpetuity. 	
		 For restoring or enhancing riparian habitat within the project site or outside of the project site, the Compensatory Mitigation Plan will include a description of the proposed habitat improvements, success criteria that demonstrate the performance standard of maintained habitat function has been met, legal and funding mechanisms, and 	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		parties responsible for long-term management and monitoring of the restored or enhanced habitat. Compensatory mitigation may be satisfied through compliance with permit conditions, or other authorizations obtained by UC Santa Cruz (e.g., Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement), if these requirements are equally or more effective than the mitigation identified above. Impacts on riparian habitat considered an ESHA within the coastal zone will require a coastal development permit pursuant to the CCA and compliance with any requirements therein.	
Impact 3.5-4: Result in Degradation or Loss of State or Federally Protected Wetlands Implementation of projects under the 2021 LRDP would include potential land use conversion and development activities including ground disturbance, vegetation removal, and land development, which could result in inadvertent alteration of wetland hydrology, removal of wetland vegetation, or inadvertent fill or dredging of wetlands. This would be a potentially significant impact.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a (above): Conduct Project-Level Biological Reconnaissance Sensitive Species and Habitats Survey Mitigation Measure 3.5-4: Identify State or Federally Protected Wetlands, Implement Avoidance Measures, and Obtain Permits for Unavoidable Impacts on Wetlands If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-3.5-1a that state or federally protected wetlands may be present within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented before implementation of project activities: ▶ UC Santa Cruz will retain a qualified biologist, hydrologist, or wetland ecologist to prepare a formal delineation of the boundaries of state or federally protected wetlands within the project site (including 1602 jurisdictional waterways) according to methods established in the USACE wetlands delineation manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and the Arid West regional supplement (USACE 2008). The qualified biologist will also delineate the boundaries of wetlands that may not meet the definition of waters of the United States, but would qualify as waters of the state, according to the state wetland procedures (SWRCB 2019). This delineation report will be submitted by UC Santa Cruz to USACE and a preliminary jurisdictional determination will be requested. ▶ If state or federally protected wetlands are determined to be present within a project site that can be avoided, the qualified biologist will establish a buffer around wetlands and mark the buffer boundary with high-visibility flagging, fencing, stakes, or clear, existing landscape demarcations (e.g., edge of a roadway). The buffer will be a minimum width of 25 feet but may be larger if deemed necessary. The appropriate size and shape of the buffer zone will be	LTS
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signific	cant PS = F	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		determined in coordination with the qualified biologist and will depend on the type of wetland present (e.g., stream, seep, pond), the timing of project activities (e.g., wet or dry time of year), whether any special-status species may occupy the wetland and the species' vulnerability to the project activities, environmental conditions and terrain, and the project activity being implemented. Project activities (e.g., ground disturbance, vegetation removal, staging) will be prohibited within the established buffer. The qualified biologist will periodically inspect the materials demarcating the buffer to confirm that they are intact and visible, and wetland impacts are being avoided.	
		If it is determined that fill of waters of the United States would result from project implementation, authorization for such fill will be secured from USACE through the Section 404 permitting process. Any waters of the United States that would be affected by the project will be replaced or restored on a no-net-loss basis in accordance with the applicable USACE mitigation guidelines in place at the time of construction. In association with the Section 404 permit (if applicable) and prior to the issuance of any grading permit, Section 401 Water Quality Certification from the Central Coast RWQCB will be obtained. For impacts on waters of the state that may not be covered by the 401 Water Quality Certification, UC Santa Cruz will secure Waste Discharge Requirements, which are described in Section 3.10, "Hydrology and Water Quality."	
		If it is determined that disturbance or fill of state protected streams or riparian habitat cannot be avoided, UC Santa Cruz will notify CDFW before commencing activity that may divert the natural flow or otherwise alter the bed, bank, or riparian corridor of any 1602 jurisdictional waterway. If project activities trigger the need for a Streambed Alteration Agreement, the proponent will obtain an agreement from CDFW before the activity commences. The applicant will conduct project construction activities in accordance with the agreement, including implementing reasonable measures in the agreement necessary to protect the fish and wildlife resources, when working within the bed or bank of waterways or in riparian habitats associated with those waterways. These measures may include but not be limited to demarcation of the construction area, biological monitoring, environmental awareness training for construction crews, and compensatory measures (e.g., restoration, long-term habitat management).	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		Impacts on wetlands considered ESHAs within the coastal zone (if any) will require a coastal development permit pursuant to the CCA and compliance with any requirements therein.	
Impact 3.5-5: Interfere with Wildlife Movement Corridors or Impede the Use of Wildlife Nurseries Implementation of projects under the 2021 LRDP would include potential land use conversion and development activities including ground disturbance, vegetation removal, and land development, which could result in adverse effects on resident or migratory wildlife corridors through habitat fragmentation, degradation of aquatic habitat (e.g., streams), or blockage of important wildlife migration paths. These activities could also disturb wildlife nursery sites or degrade essential nursery habitat components. Impacts on movement corridors, habitat connectivity, and wildlife nursery sites would be potentially significant.		Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a (above): Conduct Project-Level Biological Reconnaissance Sensitive Species and Habitats Survey Mitigation Measure 3.5-3a (above): Conduct Protocol-Level Surveys for Sensitive Natural Communities and Riparian Habitat and Implement Avoidance Measures Mitigation Measure 3.5-3b (above): Compensate for Unavoidable Loss of Sensitive Natural Communities Mitigation Measure 3.5-3c (above): Compensate for Unavoidable Loss of Riparian Habitat Mitigation Measure 3.5-4 (above): Identify State or Federally Protected Wetlands, Implement Avoidance Measures, and Obtain Permits for Unavoidable Impacts on Wetlands Mitigation Measures 3.5-5a: Utilize Wildlife-Friendly Building and Fencing Designs The following measures shall be implemented during the early planning stages of projects under the 2021 LRDP: ▶ Buildings and other permanent structures that would be constructed during implementation of projects under the 2021 LRDP shall be designed to minimize impacts on wildlife, including disruption to wildlife movement, bird strikes, and wildlife entanglement. ■ Building design shall utilize guidelines regarding building height, materials, external lighting, and landscaping provided in the American Bird Conservancy's "Bird Friendly Building Design" (American Bird Conservancy 2015) or other appropriate resources (e.g., International Dark Sky Association). UC Santa Cruz shall require review of the design plans by a qualified biologist, who will determine whether the plans are sufficient to reduce the likelihood of bird strikes or recommend additional measures. ■ Fencing associated with new development under the 2021 LRDP will utilize wildlife-friendly fencing design to minimize the risk of entanglement or impalement of wildlife. UC Santa Cruz will require the review of fencing design by a qualified biologist prior to installation. The fencing design shall meet, but not be limited to the following standards:	LTS
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than significant	cant PS = I	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
Impacts	before	Minimize the chance of wildlife entanglement by avoiding barbed wire, loose or broken wires, or any material that could impale, snag, or entrap a leaping animal (e.g., wrought iron fencing with spikes). Allow wildlife to jump over easily without injury. Typically, fences should be no more than 40 inches high on flat ground to allow adult deer to jump over. The determination of appropriate fence height will consider slope, as steep slopes are more difficult for wildlife to pass. Allow smaller wildlife to pass under easily without injury or entrapment. Mitigation Measure 3.5-5b: Retain Wildlife Nursery Habitat and Implement Buffers to Avoid Wildlife Nursey Sites If it is determined through implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.5-1a that wildlife nursery sites are present within a particular project site, the following measures shall be implemented prior to and during construction of a project: A qualified biologist will identify the important habitat features of the wildlife nursery and, prior to commencement of project activities (e.g., ground disturbance, vegetation removal, staging), will mark these features for avoidance and retention during project implementation to maintain the function of the nursery habitat. A no-disturbance buffer will be established around the nursery site if project activities are required while the nursery site is active/occupied. The appropriate size and shape of the buffer will be determined by a qualified biologist, based on potential effects of project-related habitat disturbance, noise, visual disturbance, and other factors, but will typically be a minimum of 100 feet. No project activity will commence within the buffer area until a qualified biologist confirms that the nursery site is no longer active/occupied. Monitoring of the effectiveness of the no-disturbance buffer around the nursery site by a qualified biologist during and after project activities will be required. If project activities cause agitated behavior of the individual(s), the buffer distance will be incr	after Mitigation
		The qualified biologist will have the authority to stop any project activities that could result in potential adverse effects to wildlife nursery sites.	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
Impact 3.5-6: Conflict with Local Policies and Ordinances There are several policies in the City of Santa Cruz 2030 General Plan and the County of Santa Cruz General Plan and Local Coastal Program (LCP) that protect biological resources. UC Santa Cruz is not subject to local governments' regulations; however, mitigation measures identified under Impacts 3.5-1, 3.5-2, 3.5-3, 3.5-4, and 3.5-5 would reduce impacts on resources protected by local policies to less than significant. Therefore, the impact related to potential conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.5-7: Conflict with the Provisions of an Adopted Habitat Conservation Plan or Natural Community Conservation Plan The Ranch View Terrace HCP plan area is located within the lower campus portion of the LRDP area. The HCP plan area includes two preserves: IAA and IAD. Development of IAD would result in a conflict with the provisions of the adopted HCP and incidental take permit granted by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). This would be a potentially significant impact.	PS	 Mitigation Measure 3.5-7: Establish Alternative Preserves to Replace Inclusion Area D, and Amend the Ranch View Terrace HCP with Approval from USFWS The following measures shall be implemented prior to any development activities within IAD: UC Santa Cruz shall, in consultation with USFWS, seek an amendment to the Ranch View Terrace HCP to accommodate replacement of IAD with replacement habitat that may be suitable, created, or restored for Ohlone tiger beetle. In consultation with USFWS, UC Santa Cruz will determine whether a new preserve(s) could be established to replace IAD. New proposed preserves will be characterized by equal (12.5 acres) or greater size, and better habitat (e.g., intact coastal prairie, Watsonville loam soils, bare soil available, presence of Ohlone tiger beetle) than IAD. If USFWS concurs that replacement of IAD is appropriate, the Ranch View Terrace HCP will be amended to exclude IAD. Any new preserve(s) would be managed through yearly monitoring and vegetation management activities with the objective of fostering occupation by Ohlone tiger beetle. If USFWS does not concur that replacement of IAD is appropriate, the existing incidental take permit and associated measures in the Ranch View Terrace HCP will apply, and no development will occur within IAD. As noted in Mitigation Measures 3.5-2a and 3.5-2i, UC Santa Cruz may elect to pursue a comprehensive HCP, which shall be accomplished either by amending the Ranch View Terrace HCP or by incorporating and replacing the existing Ranch View Terrace HCP. 	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
3.6. Energy			
Impact 3.6-1: Result in Unnecessary, Inefficient, and Wasteful Use of Energy Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would increase electricity and natural gas consumption in the LRDP area relative to existing conditions during construction, as well as long-term operation of the main residential campus and Westside Research Park. The 2021 LRDP is committed to meeting the UC Sustainable Practices Policy and the UC Santa Cruz Campus Standards Handbook (including achievement of LEED Silver standards at minimum) in all new/renovated facilities, which is designed to reduce the wasteful use of materials (through recycling building materials) and increase building energy efficiency (i.e., 60 percent more efficient than the 1999 Energy Benchmarks). Therefore, implementation of the 2021 LRDP would not result in wasteful, inefficient, and unnecessary consumption of energy, and impacts would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.6-2: Conflict, or Create an Inconsistency, with any Applicable Plan, Policy, or Regulation Adopted for the Purpose of Avoiding or Mitigating Environmental Effects Related to Energy Campus development under the 2021 LRDP would be required to comply with increasingly stringent building and vehicle efficiency standards that would reduce energy consumption to be consistent with applicable plans, policies, and regulations. New development under the 2021 LRDP would also include design features that would reflect UC Santa Cruz's goal to meet the UC Carbon Neutrality Initiative, as written into the UC Sustainable Practices Policy Green Building and Climate Action targets. Thus, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
3.7. Geology and Soils	!		1
Impact 3.7-1: Increase the Risk of Exposure of People or Buildings to Seismic Ground Shaking The LRDP area is in a seismically active region that includes several active earthquake faults of local and regional significance. All structures proposed to be constructed or redeveloped would be required to comply with regulatory mandates in the CBC, UC Seismic Safety Policy, and UC Santa Cruz Campus Standards Handbook to ensure that new and modified buildings and infrastructure would be capable of withstanding anticipated levels of ground shaking. For this reason, the potential impact related to ground shaking would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signific	cant PS = I	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	•

Draft EIR

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
Impact 3.7-2: Increase the Risk of Exposure of People or Buildings to Seismic-Related Ground Failure, Including Liquefaction Development and redevelopment per the 2021 LRDP could occur on a geologic unit or soil that could become unstable. In addition, ground failure could be triggered by seismic shaking and could result in on- or off-site landslides, lateral spreading, or liquefaction, creating potential risks to life or property. All structures proposed to be constructed or redeveloped would be required to comply with the CBC, UC Seismic Safety Policy, and UC Santa Cruz Campus Standards Handbook, to ensure that all new and modified structures would be capable of withstanding anticipated levels of ground shaking. For this reason, the potential impact related to ground failure and liquefaction would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.7-3: Result in Substantial Erosion or Loss of Topsoil during Construction, Operations, or Maintenance Development and redevelopment project construction, operations, and maintenance under the 2021 LRDP may involve vegetation removal, clearing, and grading of soils, all of which could result in erosion and loss of topsoil, particularly if soils are exposed to wind or stormwater during construction. However, through compliance with all required regulations, such as SWRCB General Permit for Discharges of Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity (Construction General Permit Order 2009-0009-DWQ) and the Statewide Phase II MS4 Permit, the impact related to substantial erosion or loss of topsoil during construction would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.7-4: Increase the Risk of Exposure of People or Buildings to Expansive or Otherwise Unstable Soils The LRDP area includes soils with high shrink-swell potential. Development and redevelopment projects within the LRDP area on these soils could result in shrinking and swelling of soils, which can cause damage to foundations. However, all structures constructed or redeveloped would be required to comply with the CBC, UC Seismic Safety Policy, and UC Santa Cruz Campus Standards Handbook, which require site-specific geotechnical studies and soil engineering reports to address potential risk associated with expansive or unstable soils. Because project-specific design requirements and conditions of approval would be incorporated for all development pursuant to the 2021 LRDP, the potential for structural damage due to shrinking and swelling of soils would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
Impact 3.7-5: Increase the Risk of Exposure of People or Buildings to Unstable Conditions Due to Karst Topography, Including Subsidence or Collapse The LRDP area includes karst topography, which is characterized by irregular surfaces resulting from subsidence or collapse of the bedrock and sediment into subterranean cavities that have developed within the marble bedrock. Future development per the 2021 LRDP could result in construction of facilities on sites underlain by dolines or sinkholes, both of which are a characteristic of karst topography, that are filled with soft soil that lead to settling or collapse beneath facilities. However, all structures constructed or redeveloped would be required to comply with the California Building Code (CBC), UC Seismic Safety Policy, and UC Santa Cruz Campus Standards Handbook, which require site-specific geotechnical studies and soil engineering reports to address potential karst hazard risks. Because project-specific design requirements and conditions of approval would be incorporated for all development pursuant to the 2021 LRDP, the potential for structural damage due to karst topography would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.7-6: Directly or Indirectly Destroy Unique Paleontological Resources Development under the 2021 LRDP could result in the disturbance of paleontologically sensitive formations, which could result in the potential disturbance of paleontological resources. Potential fossil-bearing formations in the LRDP area include marine formations (Santa Margarita sandstones, Santa Cruz mudstone, and Quaternary marine terrace deposits) and sedimentary formations (Quaternary non-marine terrace deposits and doline deposits). A potentially significant impact on paleontological resources could result if an inadvertent discovery is made during ground-disturbing activities associated with development and redevelopment projects under the 2021 LRDP.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.7-6: Treatment of Paleontological Resources For development within the potential fossil-bearing formations in the LRDP area, namely marine formations of Santa Margarita sandstones, Santa Cruz mudstone, and Quaternary marine terrace deposits, and sedimentary formations of Quaternary non-marine terrace deposits and doline deposits, UC Santa Cruz shall require, as part of contract specifications, that the contractor provide a paleontological resources awareness training program to all construction personnel active on the project site during earth moving activities. The first training will be provided prior to the initiation of ground disturbing activities. The training will be developed and conducted in coordination with a qualified paleontologist. The program will include relevant information regarding fossils and fossil-bearing formations that may be encountered. The training will also describe appropriate avoidance and minimization measures for resources that have the potential to be located on the project site. If any paleontological resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, the contractor shall ensure that activities in the immediate area of the find are halted and that UC Santa Cruz is informed. UC Santa Cruz shall retain a qualified paleontologist to evaluate the discovery and recommend appropriate treatment options pursuant to guidelines developed by the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology, including development and implementation of a paleontological resource impact mitigation program by a qualified paleontologist for treatment of	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		 the particular resource, if applicable. These measures may include, but not be limited to the following: salvage of unearthed fossil remains and/or traces (e.g., tracks, trails, burrows); screen washing to recover small specimens; preparation of salvaged fossils to a point of being ready for curation (e.g., removal of enclosing matrix, stabilization and repair of specimens, and construction of reinforced support cradles); and identification, cataloging, curation, and provision for repository storage of prepared fossil specimens. 	
Impact 3.8-1: Generate Greenhouse Gas Emissions that May Have a Significant Impact on the Environment The 2021 LRDP would increase development and population within the main residential campus and Westside Research Park. This increase in development along with the implementation of design features, programs, and other measures would result in annual emissions of 26,769 MTCO ₂ e per year in 2040. This amount is below existing conditions, and would therefore result in a less-than-significant impact under the net zero threshold. However, when applying the more conservative "state target" threshold, this reduction is equivalent to 46 percent below the campus's 1990 levels by 2040, which is not consistent with the interpolated target to reduce statewide GHG emissions by 60 percent below 1990 levels by 2040. Therefore, the 2021 LRDP contribution to climate change from GHG emissions would be significant.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.8-1: Reduce Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions UC Santa Cruz shall commit to reducing annual GHG emissions by at least 6,907 MTCO₂e by 2040. This reduction shall be achieved through the combination of oncampus GHG reduction projects and, if necessary, purchase of carbon offsets. On-Campus or Other Regional Lands Reductions UC Santa Cruz shall prioritize GHG reductions through on-campus GHG-reduction projects and actions or at other university-owned properties in the region. UC Santa Cruz could also pursue joint GHG-reduction efforts with other local/regional agencies (e.g., City and County of Santa Cruz.) Reductions in GHG emissions shall be achieved through the combination of any of the following: 1. Replanting removed trees or planting equivalent new trees displaced by construction at a 1:1 ratio and ensuring the continued health of the replanted trees. A 100 percent replanting rate would offset 2,160 MTCO₂e per year by 2040. Tree planting at a higher rate would provide further GHG reductions. 2. Reducing new non-fleet mobile source emissions from commuting, vendor trips, and delivery trips by 2040. A 10 percent reduction in anticipated emissions from these sources would reduce emissions by 1,083 MTCO₂e per year in 2040. These reductions can be achieved through an enhanced Transportation Demand Management Program (see Mitigation Measure 3.16-2). This program would include parking management, expanded vanpool program, improved transit service, and increased telecommuting. 3. Requiring renewable diesel or other zero carbon emissions alternatives to be used in place of conventional diesel use in equipment for all construction	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures aft	ficance fter gation
		activity, even those occurring after this 2021 LRDP plan period. A 100-percent renewable diesel construction fleet would reduce emissions in 2040 by 942 MTCO ₂ e per year.	
		4. Reducing waste and increasing recycling and composting within the LRDP area as part of UC Santa Cruz's Zero Waste goal under UCOP's Sustainable Practices Policy, including additional on-campus education and opportunities for waste recycling.	
		5. Pursuing innovative on-site wastewater treatment alternatives, such as waste-to-energy projects, that reduce N ₂ O and CH ₄ process emissions compared to those generated at off-site wastewater treatment.	
		6. Pursuing electrification of existing buildings and requiring that all new buildings be electric only.	
		7. Any other on-campus or regional projects or measures identified during the course of the 2021 LRDP that would effectively and quantifiably reduce emissions.	
		Acquire Carbon Offset Credits in Conformance with CARB Guidance that are Demonstrably Real, Permanent, Additional, Quantifiable, Verifiable, and Enforceable	
		As part of this mitigation measure, UC Santa Cruz would make the following separate, though overlapping, GHG emission reduction commitments: (1) UC Santa Cruz will maintain compliance with carbon offset accreditation requirements under CARB's Cap-and-Trade program, and (2) per existing UC Policy, UC Santa Cruz's GHG emissions shall, commencing in 2025, be entirely carbon neutral.	
		Compliance with CARB's Cap-and-Trade Program: Any carbon offset credits obtained for the purpose of compliance with CARB's Cap-and-Trade program shall be purchased from an accredited carbon credit market. Based on the current program as of January 2021, such offset credits (or California Carbon Offsets) shall be registered with, and retired by an Offset Project Registry, as defined in 17 California Code of Regulations § 95802(a), that is approved by CARB, such as, but not limited to, Climate Action Reserve (CAR), American Carbon Registry, and Verra (formerly Verified Carbon Standard), that is recognized by The Climate Registry, a non-profit organization governed by U.S. states and Canadian provinces and territories.	
		Compliance with UC Policy: Compliance with UC's policies for carbon neutrality by 2025 and UC's own policy to reduce Scope 1, 2, and transportation-related Scope 3 emissions below 1990 levels pursuant to AB 32	
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signi	ficant PS = 1	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		will be accomplished through reductions in direct emissions, the purchase of renewable electricity, and the purchase of carbon offset credits. UC Santa Cruz will purchase voluntary carbon offset credits as the final action to reach the GHG emission reduction targets. Internal guidelines will be developed per the UC Carbon Neutrality Initiative to ensure that any use of offsets for this purpose will derive from verified GHG emissions reductions resulting from actions that align, as much as possible, with UC's research, teaching, and public service mission.	
		To demonstrate that the carbon offset credits provided are real, permanent, additional, quantifiable, verifiable, and enforceable, as those terms are defined in 17 California Code of Regulations § 95802(a), UC Santa Cruz shall prepare an annual report documenting the protocol used to verify those credits and submit that report for approval to a CARB-accredited third-party verification entity. If the verification entity finds that any credits purchased did not meet these criteria, UC shall purchase alternative credits and submit a follow-up report to the verification entity for concurrence. All carbon offsets purchased will be reported publicly and tracked through the Climate Registry as required by UC policy.	
		For any remaining emissions not achieved through on-campus reduction efforts, as outlined above, UC Santa Cruz shall ensure that the remaining emissions reductions are taking place and on the trajectory toward meeting the target of reducing annual GHG emissions by at least 6,907 MTCO ₂ e by 2040 and shall conduct an annual review of emissions reductions. To achieve any remaining GHG emissions reductions, voluntary carbon offsets shall be purchased.	
Impact 3.8-2: Conflict with an Applicable Plan, Policy or Regulation Adopted for the Purpose of Reducing the Emissions of Greenhouse Gases Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would achieve targets established in the UC Sustainable Practices Policy through anticipated planning and policy actions. As achievement of the Sustainable Practices Policy would meet or exceed statewide targets for 2030 and not impede the ability of UC Santa Cruz to achieve statewide 2050 targets, the 2021 LRDP would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulations intended to reduce GHG emissions. A less-than-significant impact would occur.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
3.9. Hazards and Hazardous Materials			
Impact 3.9-1: Create a Significant Hazard Through the Routine Transport, Use, or Disposal of Hazardous Materials Construction and operation of development under the 2021 LRDP would involve the transport, use, and disposal of hazardous materials to and from the UC Santa Cruz campus. With adherence to existing regulations and compliance with safety standards, the impact from hazardous materials transport, use and disposal would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.9-2: Result in the Release of Hazardous Materials from a Site of Known or Potential Contamination Due to the proximity of documented contamination sites and proximity of public roadways, there is potential for contamination to be encountered during construction. Because the LRDP area could contain undocumented contamination that has not been characterized or remediated, this would be a potentially significant impact.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.9-2a: Conduct Preliminary Site Investigation During project planning, the Environmental Health and Safety (EH&S) Department shall be consulted in order to identify if any past contamination, underground storage tanks (USTs), aboveground storage tanks (ASTs), or other contamination could potentially occur in areas to be disturbed for project construction. EH&S will consider the cases on file at the County of Santa Cruz EHS and information on historical uses in the area to be impacted such as old maps and photos. If EH&S determines that there is no or minimal potential for contamination to occur on site, no additional mitigation is necessary. If it is determined that contamination has the potential to exist on a project site, Mitigation Measure 3.9-2b shall be implemented. Mitigation Measure 3.9-2b: Conduct Site-Specific Investigation and Prepare Work Plan Where initial investigations indicate the potential for contamination, UC Santa Cruz shall conduct soil sampling within the boundaries of the project site prior to initiation of grading or other groundwork. This investigation will follow the American Society for Testing and Materials standards for preparation of a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) and/or other appropriate testing guidelines. If the results indicate that contamination exists at levels above regulatory action standards, then the site will be remediated in accordance with recommendations made by applicable regulatory agencies, including County of Santa Cruz Environmental Health Services (EHS), Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), and Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC). The agencies involved shall depend on the type and extent of contamination. Based on the results and recommendations of the investigation described above, UC Santa Cruz shall prepare a work plan that identifies any necessary remediation activities, including excavation and removal of on-site contaminated soils, and	LTS

Draft EIR ES-56

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		redistribution of clean fill material within the project site. The work plan shall include measures that ensure the safe transport, use, and disposal of contaminated soil removed from the project site.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.9-2c: Prepare and Implement Hazardous Materials Contingency Plan Prior to initiation of grading or other ground disturbance, UC Santa Cruz shall provide a hazardous materials contingency plan to EH&S and County of Santa Cruz EHS, as appropriate. The plan will describe the necessary actions that would be taken if evidence of contaminated soil or groundwater is encountered during construction. The contingency plan shall identify conditions that could indicate potential hazardous materials contamination, including soil discoloration, petroleum or chemical odors, and presence of underground storage tanks or buried building material. If at any time during the course of construction, evidence of soil and/or groundwater contamination with hazardous material is encountered, UC Santa Cruz shall immediately halt construction and contact EH&S and County of Santa Cruz EHS. Work shall not be resumed until the discovery has been assessed/treated appropriately (through such mechanisms as soil or groundwater sampling and remediation if potentially hazardous materials are detected above	
		threshold levels) to the satisfaction of County of Santa Cruz EHS, RWQCB, and DTSC (as applicable). The hazardous materials contingency plan, and obligations to abide by and implement the plan, shall be incorporated into the construction and contract specifications of the project.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.9-d: Require Minimization of Hazards during Demolition Prior to demolition of existing structures, in order to minimize potential for accidental release of hazardous materials during demolition, UC Santa Cruz shall complete the following:	
		Locate and dispose of potentially hazardous materials in compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws. This shall include: 1) identify locations that could contain hazardous residues; 2) remove plumbing fixtures known to contain, or potentially containing, hazardous materials; 3) determine the waste classification of the debris; 4) package contaminated items and wastes; and 5) identify disposal site(s) permitted to accept such wastes.	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		 Provide written documentation to the appropriate County department and MBARD that asbestos testing and abatement consistent with MBARD Rule 424, as appropriate, has occurred in compliance with applicable federal, state, and local laws. Provide written documentation to the appropriate County department and MABRD that lead-based paint testing and abatement, as appropriate, has been completed in accordance with applicable state and local laws and regulations. Abatement shall include the removal of lead contaminated soil (considered soil with lead concentrations greater than 400 parts per million in areas where children are likely to be present). If lead-contaminated soil is to be removed, UC Santa Cruz shall submit a soil management plan to County of Santa Cruz EHS. 	
Impact 3.9-3: Result in Handling of Hazardous or Acutely Hazardous Materials within 0.25 Mile of an Existing School Although hazardous materials and waste could be handled within 0.25 mile of an existing or proposed school as a result of implementation of the 2021 LRDP, the handling, storage, and disposal of hazardous materials would be subject to campus safety programs and procedures. This impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.9-4: Impair Implementation of, or Physically Interfere with, an Adopted Emergency Response Plan or Emergency Evacuation Plan Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would not interfere with an adopted emergency response or evacuation plan, but construction activities for projects under the 2021 LRDP could result in short-term, temporary impacts to street traffic because of roadway improvements and potential extension of construction activities into the right-of-way. This could result in a reduction in the number of lanes or temporary closure of certain street segments. Any such impacts would be limited to the construction period and would affect only adjacent streets or intersection. This would be a potentially significant impact.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.9-4: Prepare and Implement Site-Specific Construction Traffic Management Plans UC Santa Cruz shall prepare and implement site-specific construction traffic management plans for any construction effort that would require work within existing roadways. To the extent feasible, the campus shall maintain at least one unobstructed lane in both directions on campus roadways during construction activities. At any time only a single lane is available due to construction-related road closures, the campus shall provide a temporary traffic signal, signal carriers (i.e., flag persons), or other appropriate traffic controls to allow travel in both directions. If construction activities require the complete closure of a roadway, the campus shall provide appropriate signage indicating alternative routes. If simultaneous construction activities occur close to one another, UC Santa Cruz shall require that simultaneous road closures not occur within 1,000 feet of each other. To ensure adequate access for emergency vehicles when construction projects would result in temporary lane or roadway closures, the campus shall inform emergency services, including the UC Santa Cruz Police Department (UCPD) and Santa Cruz Fire	LTS

Draft EIR ES-58

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		Department (SCFD) of the closures and alternative travel routes. During National Weather Service Red Flag Warnings and Fire Weather Watches, the UCPD and SCFD shall be consulted to determine if any changes to road closures are necessary while these fire hazard conditions are in effect.	
3.10. Hydrology and Water Quality			
Impact 3.10-1: Violate Any Waste Discharge Requirements That Would Substantially Degrade Surface or Groundwater Quality UC Santa Cruz does not discharge wastewater directly to any receiving water bodies; therefore, its wastewater is not subject to wastewater discharge requirements. Wastewater generated on the main residential campus and Westside Research Park is discharged to the City of Santa Cruz sewer system and is treated at the City's wastewater treatment plant. Therefore, implementation of the 2021 LRDP would result in a less-than-significant impact.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.10-2: Water Quality Impacts Related to Construction Activities Construction activities associated with implementation of the 2021 LRDP would expose bare soil to rainfall and stormwater runoff, which could accelerate erosion and result in sedimentation of stormwater and, eventually discharge to receiving waterbodies. Construction-related projects in the LRDP area would be required to comply with the State Water Resources Control Board 2009-0009-DWQ Construction General Permit (CGP). Compliance with the CGP requires development of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for projects disturbing 1 acre or more and the Campus Standards Handbook requires preparation of an Erosion Control and Sediment Control Plan for projects less than 1 acre. Compliance with the CGP and the Campus Standards Handbook would minimize erosion and sedimentation during construction. In addition, the design and operation of each new facility would adhere to UC Santa Cruz Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirements (UC Santa Cruz Post-Construction Requirements). This program exists to ensure compliance with Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board Resolution R3-2013-0032. applicable laws and implementation of BMPs on the ground during construction. Therefore, implementation of the 2021 LRDP would not be expected to contribute substantial loads of sediment or other pollutants to stormwater or receiving waterbodies and would result in a less-than-significant impact.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
Impact 3.10-3: Alteration of Drainage Patterns and Increased Runoff Development under the 2021 LRDP could alter drainage patterns, and increase the rate or amount of surface runoff, which could result in substantial siltation or erosion on or off site, and increase the amount of urban pollutants in storm water runoff, which could affect water quality. However, there are several layers of regulatory compliance and programmatic elements in place for new campus development that are designed to reduce runoff, peak flows and impacts to water quality and therefore, implementation of the 2021 LRDP would result in a less-than-significant impact.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.10-4: Flood-Related Impacts Development under the 2021 LRDP could alter drainage patterns in the LRDP area and would increase the rate or amount of surface runoff, which could exceed the capacity of storm water drainage systems, resulting in flooding on or off site. However, regulatory compliance and programmatic elements in place for new development in the LRDP area are designed to reduce runoff, peak flows and impacts to water quality and, therefore, implementation of the 2021 LRDP would result in a less-than-significant impact.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.10-5: Impacts to Karst Aquifer Supply, Recharge and Groundwater Quality Potential impacts on groundwater that could result under the 2021 LRDP include: 1) reduced spring flows and lowering of aquifer water levels as a result of a reduction in recharge due to increased impervious surfaces, and as a result of potential groundwater extraction in the event that groundwater pumping is implemented to reduce demand for water from the City's water supply, and 2) impacts to groundwater quality from contaminated surface runoff. Impacts associated with new development on the karst aquifer would be potentially significant.	PS	Mitigation Measure 3.10-5a: Procedures for Building on Karst Where Groundwater is Encountered and Where Pressure Grouting is Considered For projects involving construction on karst as determined by the geotechnical investigation, if 1) groundwater is encountered beneath the building site, and 2) the proposed building foundation design includes pressure grouting, UC Santa Cruz shall complete a dye tracing study to confirm potential hydrologic connectivity of the building site with springs around the campus or campus wells. If the study confirms the building site to be hydrologically linked to springs and/or wells in the karst system, then alternative building foundation designs will be implemented.	LTS
		Mitigation Measure 3.10-5b: On-Going Groundwater Level and Spring Flow Monitoring If the existing well WSW#1 or a new groundwater well is used for extraction, UC Santa Cruz shall perform monitoring of water levels within that well and any other campus wells completed in the karst aquifer on a continuous basis when groundwater pumping occurs. UC Santa Cruz shall also conduct, at a minimum, monthly flow monitoring of those springs in the vicinity of the LRDP area shown to be connected to the well via a dye tracing study or other applicable testing method for the duration of groundwater pumping to determine whether there is any long-term decline in water levels or spring discharge. Monitoring of the springs shall also include an assessment of surface water resources (i.e., habitats, plant species, and wildlife species) for a distance of 500 feet downgradient from the daylighting of connected springs at least 30 days	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		prior to and after groundwater pumping to determine if there are any adverse changes (i.e., reduction in ordinary high water mark, changes in plant or wildlife species assemblages such that a species is no longer present, or reduction in plant cover) in the condition of these resources that may be directly attributed to changes in spring discharge as a result of groundwater pumping. If monitoring of water levels and spring flows indicates that UC Santa Cruz extraction of groundwater is contributing to a net deficit in aquifer volume, as indicated by a substantial decrease in average base flow water levels in any monitored wells or a substantial reduction of base flows in monitored springs, the campus will terminate or reduce its use of groundwater from the aquifer. A substantial decrease shall constitute observations of a continual decreasing trend in base groundwater water levels over a 3-5 year period coupled with a decrease in spring base flow conditions, beyond the standard deviation for any given spring, for a corresponding water year type. The average base water levels and base flows in springs will be defined through a statistical analysis of historic data, grouped by water year types. As new monitoring data becomes available, UC Santa Cruz will continually update the statistical analysis.	
3.11. Land Use and Planning			
Impact 3.11-1: Conflict with Applicable Land Use Plans, Policies, or Existing Zoning Adopted for the Purposes of Avoiding or Mitigating an Environmental Effect Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would not conflict with existing land use, policies, or zoning adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. Consistency with the Ranchview Terrace HCP is primarily addressed in Section 3.5, Biological Resources. Because the UC holds jurisdiction over campus-related projects, projects carried out by UC Santa Cruz would be consistent with the 2021 LRDP. Therefore, impacts associated with land use plans, policies, or zoning would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
3.12. Noise			
Impact 3.12-1: Generate Substantial Temporary Construction Noise Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would result in construction activities associated with the development of on-campus facilities to accommodate future growth in support of the UC Santa Cruz's academic mission. Although construction activities would be intermittent and temporary, construction noise could reach high levels at nearby noise-sensitive land uses, resulting in human disturbance. Therefore, this impact would be significant.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.12-1: Implement Construction Noise Reduction Measures As part of construction of new/renovated facilities associated with 2021 LRDP implementation, UC Santa Cruz shall implement or incorporate the following noise reduction measures into construction specifications for the contractor(s) to implement during project construction:	SU
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signific	cant PS = F	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Significa Mitigation Measures afte Mitigation
		All construction equipment shall be properly maintained and equipped with noise-reduction intake and exhaust mufflers and engine shrouds, in accordance with manufacturer recommendations. Equipment engine shrouds shall be closed during equipment operation.
		Where available and feasible, construction equipment with back-up alarms shall be equipped with either audible self-adjusting backup alarms or alarms that only sound when an object is detected. Self-adjusting backup alarms shall automatically adjust to 5 A-weighted decibels (dBA) over the surrounding background levels. All non-self-adjusting backup alarms shall be set to the lowest setting required to be audible above the surrounding noise levels.
		All construction equipment and equipment staging areas shall be located as far as feasible from nearby noise-sensitive land uses and, when feasible, staging areas shall be located such that existing or constructed noise attenuating features (e.g., temporary noise wall or blankets) block line-of-sight between affected noise-sensitive land uses and construction staging areas.
		Individual operations and techniques shall be replaced with quieter procedures (e.g., using welding instead of riveting, mixing concrete off-site instead of on-site) where feasible, and shall be consistent with building codes and other applicable laws and regulations.
		Stationary noise sources such as generators or pumps shall be located as far away from noise-sensitive uses as feasible.
		No less than 1 week prior to the start of construction activities at a particular location, notification shall be provided to nearby off-campus, noise-sensitive land uses (e.g., residential uses, elementary schools) that are located within 690 feet of the construction site and where projected construction noise levels are anticipated to exceed acceptable daytime L _{max} noise standards.
		When construction would occur within 140 feet of on-campus housing or 690 feet of off-campus noise-sensitive uses (e.g., residences, elementary schools, churches) and may result in temporary noise levels in excess of established standards at the exterior of the adjacent noise-sensitive structure, temporary noise barriers (e.g., noise-insulating blankets or temporary plywood structures) shall be erected, if deemed to be feasible and effective, between the noise source and sensitive receptor such that construction-related noise levels are reduced to acceptable noise levels at the receptor.

S = Significant

Draft EIR ES-62 NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

SU = Significant and unavoidable

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		▶ Loud construction activity (i.e., construction activity such as jackhammering, concrete sawing, asphalt removal, and large-scale grading operations) shall not be scheduled during the Campus's finals week.	
		► When construction of a project requires material hauling, a haul route plan shall be prepared for the project, for review and approval by UC Santa Cruz, that designates haul routes as far as feasible from sensitive receptors.	
		► The contractor shall designate a disturbance coordinator and post that person's telephone number conspicuously around the construction site, as well as provide it to nearby residences. The disturbance coordinator shall receive all public complaints and be responsible for determining the cause of the complaint and implementing any feasible measures to alleviate the problem.	
		Construction activities (excluding activities that would result in a safety concern to the public or construction workers) shall be limited to between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m., when feasible. For any construction activity that must extend beyond the daytime hours of 8:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. and occurs within 440 feet of an on-campus residential building or 1,225 feet of an off-campus sensitive land use, UC Santa Cruz shall require the use of one or more of the following or equivalent measures to reduce interior noise levels to less than 45 dB Leq at the nearest receptor:	
		 Use of noise-reducing enclosures around stationary noise-generating equipment (e.g., concrete mixers, generators, compressors). 	
		• Installation of temporary noise curtains installed as close as possible to the boundary of the construction site within the direct line of sight path of the nearby sensitive receptor(s). The curtains shall consist of durable, flexible composite material featuring a noise barrier layer bounded to sound-absorptive material on one side. The noise barrier layer shall consist of rugged, impervious, material with a surface weight of at least one pound per square foot.	
		 Retain a qualified noise specialist to develop a noise monitoring plan and conduct noise monitoring to ensure that noise reduction measures are achieved the necessary reductions such that levels at the receiving land uses do not exceed exterior noise levels of 45 dBA L_{eq} for construction activity occurring during noise-sensitive nighttime hours. 	
		 If restricting construction activities to daytime hours (8 a.m. to 10 p.m.) is infeasible and the application of all feasible mitigation, as listed above, 	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		does not successfully reduce interior noise levels to lower than 45 dB L_{eq} at the nearest residential noise-sensitive receptor, UC Santa Cruz will offer hotel accommodations to residents who would temporarily be exposed to nighttime interior noise levels that exceed the interior noise standard of 45 L_{eq} . Alternative overnight accommodations should be in a location that is not adversely affected by nighttime construction noise.	
Impact 3.12-2: Generate Substantial Temporary (Construction) Vibration Levels Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would include construction activities that may require the use of vibration-generating equipment. If pile driving would be required during construction of future projects, nearby sensitive receptors could be exposed to levels of ground vibration resulting in structural damage and/or human disturbance. Therefore, this impact would be significant.	S	 Mitigation Measure 3.12-2a: Implement Measures to Reduce Ground Vibration For any future construction activity that would involve construction activities within 75 feet of an existing sensitive land use or occupied building, the following measures shall be implemented: ■ Earthmoving and ground-impacting operations shall be phased so as not to occur simultaneously in areas close to sensitive receptors (i.e., within 75 feet). The total vibration level produced could be significantly less when each vibration source is operated at separate times. ■ In the event that simultaneous earthmoving and ground-impacting operations in close proximity to sensitive receptors (i.e., within 75 feet) cannot be avoided, no such construction activities shall be undertaken without prior approval from UC Santa Cruz. Prior to the commencement of such activities, the contractor shall apply for and obtain an exemption from UC Santa Cruz. The application for exemption shall be submitted to UC Santa Cruz and shall include the following information: ■ Explanation as to why operating earthmoving and ground-impacting operations in close proximity to sensitive receptors (i.e., within 75 feet) at separate times is not feasible. ■ Dates and times that the simultaneous earthmoving and ground- impacting operations construction activities would occur. ■ Distance from sensitive receptors at which simultaneous earthmoving and ground-impacting operations construction activities would occur. ■ Identify the on- and off-site sensitive receptors and structures that could be exposed to levels of ground vibration that could exceed applicable thresholds and apply Mitigation Measure 3.12-2b if applicable. ■ Rubber-tired equipment shall be used, where feasible, i	
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signific	cant PS = F	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		► Where there is flexibility in the location of use of heavy-duty construction equipment, the equipment shall be operated as far away (up to 250 feet) from vibration-sensitive sites.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.12-2b: Develop and Implement a Vibration Control Plan To assess and, when needed, reduce vibration and noise impacts from construction activities, the following measures shall be implemented:	
		A vibration control plan shall be developed prior to initiating any construction activities within 50 feet of a sensitive use (75 feet if vibratory equipment is required) and within 125 feet of a structure with laboratory or other similarly sensitive equipment (235 feet if vibratory equipment is required). Applicable elements of the plan shall be implemented before, during, and after construction activities. The plan will include measures sufficient to reduce vibration at sensitive receptors to levels below applicable thresholds (i.e., 0.2 in/sec PPV for building structural damage, 80 VdB for human disturbance and 65 VdB for sensitive equipment). Items that will be addressed in the plan may include, but are not limited to, the following:	
		 Pre-construction surveys shall be conducted to identify any pre-existing structural damage to buildings that may be affected by project- generated vibration. 	
		Identification of minimum setback requirements for different types of ground-vibration-producing activities (e.g., use of a vibratory roller) for the purpose of preventing damage to nearby structures and preventing adverse effects on people. Factors to be considered include the nature of the vibration-producing activity, local soil conditions, and the fragility/resiliency of the nearby structures. Initial setback requirements can be reduced if a project- and site-specific analysis is conducted by a qualified geotechnical engineer or ground vibration specialist that indicates that no structural damage to buildings or structures would occur.	
		 Identification of vibration-sensitive equipment and existing vibration control measures for the identified equipment. If, upon evaluation and prior to construction, vibration levels at the nearby equipment would exceed 65 VdB, UC Santa Cruz shall either provide additional vibration dampening (e.g., mounting) for the equipment or relocate the 	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		equipment to another suitable location on campus until construction vibration would decrease to below 65 VdB.	
		Vibration levels shall be monitored and documented at the nearest sensitive land use within the aforementioned distances to document that applicable thresholds are not exceeded. Recorded data shall be submitted on a twice-weekly basis to UC Santa Cruz. If it is found at any time that thresholds are exceeded, construction activities shall cease in that location, and methods shall be implemented to reduce vibration to below applicable thresholds, or an alternative pile installation method shall be used at that location.	
Impact 3.12-3: Generate Substantial Long-Term Stationary Noise The new buildings and facilities constructed as part of the 2021 LRDP may result in increased noise levels as a result of new stationary noise sources and equipment (e.g., HVAC units, backup generators), and other new sources such as gathering spaces, loading docks, corporation yards, and parking lots. Depending on the distance to noise-sensitive receptors, intervening shielding, and noise-reduction features incorporated in the project, noise levels associated with new stationary noise sources could result in the exceedance of exterior noise limits at existing noise-sensitive land uses, resulting in disturbance to human activities during the daytime or sleep disruption at night. Therefore, this impact would be significant.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.12-3a: Implement Noise Reduction Measures to Reduce Long-Term Noise Impacts from Loading Dock Activity To minimize noise levels generated by loading docks and delivery activity to levels that do not exceed the daytime standard of 70 dB L _{max} or nighttime standard of 65 dB L _{max} , the following measures shall be implemented for construction projects that include loading docks: New loading docks only used during daytime hours (8 a.m. to 10 p.m.) shall be located at least 320 feet from all residential receptors, and new loading	LTS
		docks used during daytime and nighttime hours shall be located at least 560 feet from all residential receptors. If this is not feasible, UC Santa Cruz shall reduce the noise level at all residential receptors to 70 dB L _{max} during daytime hours and 65 dB L _{max} during nighttime hours by incorporating one or more of the following mitigation strategies, the effectiveness of which shall be determined on a project-level basis by an acoustical professional:	
		 Design and build sound barriers near loading docks and delivery areas that block the line of sight between truck activity areas and residential land uses. Sound barriers may consist of a wall, earthen berm, or combination thereof. 	
		 Constructing loading dock pits that are below grade relative to the surrounding parking area or placing loading docks on the side of a building that does not directly face noise-sensitive receptors. 	
		 Incorporate a setback distance from loading docks to noise-sensitive receptors, and prohibit truck travel and activity within the setback area by posting signs and/or by installing gates that restrict truck access 	

Draft EIR ES-66

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		Mitigation Measure 3.12-3b: Implement Noise Reduction Measures to Reduce Long-Term Noise Impacts from Corporation Yard Activity To minimize noise levels generated by corporation yard activity to levels that do not exceed the daytime standard of 70 dB L _{max} or nighttime standard of 65 dB L _{max} the following measures shall be implemented for the construction of new corporation yards: ▶ New corporation yards only used during daytime hours (8 a.m. to 10 p.m.) shall be located at least 320 feet from all residential receptors, and new corporation yards used during daytime and nighttime hours shall be located at least 560 feet from all residential receptors. If this is not feasible, UC Santa Cruz shall reduce the noise level at all residential receptors to 70 dB L _{max} during daytime hours and 65 dB L _{max} during nighttime hours by incorporating one or more of the following mitigation strategies, the effectiveness of which shall be determined on a project-level basis by an acoustical professional: ■ Design and build sound barriers around corporation yards that block the line of sight between truck activity areas and residential land uses. Sound barriers may consist of a wall, earthen berm, or combination thereof. ■ Incorporate a setback distance from corporation yards to noise-sensitive receptors, and prohibit travel and activity of trucks or other heavy equipment within the setback area by posting signs and/or by installing	
		gates that restrict truck access.	
Impact 3.12-4: Generate a Substantial Increase in Permanent (Traffic) Noise Levels Population growth and development associated with implementation of the 2021 LRDP would increase traffic within and outside UC Santa Cruz main residential campus and Westside Research Park. However, project-generated traffic volumes would not be at levels high enough to cause substantial increases in traffic noise (i.e., 5 dB increase in traffic-related noise, where the post-project noise level would remain equal to or lower than 60 dB L _{dn} , and a 3 dB increase in traffic-related noise where the post-project noise level would exceed 60 dB L _{dn}). This impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
3.13. Population and Housing	'		
Impact 3.13-1: Directly or Indirectly Induce Substantial Unplanned Population Growth and Housing Demand Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would allow physical development to accommodate projected increases in student enrollment, UC Santa Cruz faculty/staff,	S	UC Santa Cruz is planning to provide at least 8,500 student housing beds and 558 employee residences under the 2021 LRDP. Additional beds and residences are expected to be provided under the 2005 LRDP as part of the Kresge Housing and Student Housing West projects (see Chapter 4, Cumulative Impacts). As a result	SU
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signific	ant PS = F	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
non-UC employees, and on-campus faculty/staff families/dependents, up to the levels anticipated when the campus was founded. To account for projected increases in the total on-campus population, the 2021 LRDP would provide additional housing on the main residential campus and potentially at the Westside Research Park. Up to 28,000 students (baseline plus project) would be accommodated by the plan, and this is consistent with regional growth projections. The 2021 LRDP sets aside an adequate amount of land for housing to accommodate 100 percent of the increase in student enrollment above 19,500 and for 25 percent of the increase in the number of employees, based on demand. Existing data on vacancy rates, as well as planned development nearby, suggest that housing is generally available or planned to be available within the county and city of Santa Cruz to accommodate the additional students, faculty/staff, and non-UC employees for whom on campus housing would not be accommodated. However, other data, such as affordability, suggest a tighter housing market. Further, due to the recent (summer 2020) loss of homes associated with the CZU Lightning Complex fire, the availability of housing has tightened. Therefore, the total on-campus population increase accommodated by the 2021 LRDP may directly or indirectly induce substantial housing demand in the region. This impact would be significant.		and in consideration of the 2021 LRDP objectives, no feasible mitigation measures are available to reduce the anticipated impact. However, with incorporation of cumulative projects on and off campus, UC Santa Cruz anticipates that it will be able to provide housing to all students projected under the 2021 LRDP, and the impact associated with student housing demand is expected to be less than significant.	
3.14. Public Services	!		•
Impact 3.14-1: Impacts on Fire Facilities The increase in campus population under the 2021 LRDP would increase the demand for on-campus and off-campus fire services. To address impacts of the increased population on campus, as part of the 2021 LRDP, UC Santa Cruz could either modernize and upgrade the existing SCFD Station 4 or construct a new facility on-campus under the 2021 LRDP within the Facilities and Operations land use designation, as warranted by additional development (either height or intensity of on-campus development). The facility would be placed on an area designated for this use in the 2021 LRDP, and the impacts of development under the 2021 LRDP are addressed throughout this EIR. Impacts associated with increases in population living off-campus would be addressed through development impact fees collected by those jurisdictions, as called for in their respective fee programs. In general, impacts would be less than significant, however due to timing considerations related to the availability of on-campus fire equipment (and associated fire facility) to serve taller/larger on-campus facilities included as part of the 2021 LRDP, impacts are considered significant.	S	Mitigation Measure 3.14-1: Require Acquisition of New Fire Equipment and Construction/Expansion of On-Campus Fire Station to Meet Fire Access Requirements During the design and planning of individual on-campus structures under the 2021 LRDP, UC Santa Cruz in coordination with SCFD shall determine if proposed development would exceed the height of existing on-campus response vehicles of the existing fire station. If it is determined that proposed development would exceed height capacity of existing on-campus response vehicles, UC Santa Cruz shall initiate the design and planning of a new on-campus fire station that can accommodate the required response vehicle(s) and adequately serve the development. Prior to operation of the on-campus development that would trigger the need for additional fire protection facilities, UC Santa Cruz shall initiate operation of the new on-campus fire station in cooperation with the City and pursuant to existing agreements related to fire protection service provided by SCFD.	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
Impact 3.14-2: Impacts on Police Facilities The increase in population under the 2021 LRDP would increase demand for oncampus and off-campus police services. UC Santa Cruz PD would need additional sworn officers, dispatchers, and support staff, to meet the increased demand for services, but would not require the construction of new or additional police facilities. Funding and planning for additional staff members is carried out through UC Santa Cruz's capital planning process. The projected demand for off-campus police services would be distributed across various surrounding communities. The collection of development impact fees and tax revenue for increases in the demand for public services, including police facilities off-site, would ensure that the level of police protection services would be maintained. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.14.3: Impacts on School Facilities The increase in campus population, particularly faculty and staff (who may have children) that is expected to occur under the 2021 LRDP could result in increased enrollment at area schools. However, adequate existing capacity coupled with projections of decreased enrollment in Santa Cruz City Schools (SCCS) suggests that additional students can be accommodated in existing classrooms. No new facilities would be needed. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
3.15. Recreation	!		
Impact 3.15-1: Impacts on Campus Recreation Facilities The increase in campus population under the 2021 LRDP would increase demand for on-campus recreation facilities. However, UC Santa Cruz has an adequate amount of recreation facilities to serve existing and future campus populations under the 2021 LRDP, and maintenance of existing on-campus recreation facilities would continue to occur to offset demand for recreation facilities. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.15-2: Impacts on Off-Campus Recreation Facilities The increase in campus population under the 2021 LRDP could increase demand for off-campus recreation facilities. This would be particularly the case for an increase in the number of students/employees who live off campus. However, any necessary recreational facility improvements as a result of substantial deterioration of existing facilities or requirements for new facilities within neighboring communities related to new UC Santa Cruz-related population growth would be addressed through development impact fees of the respective community, such as	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signific	cant PS = F	Potential significant	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
fees charged by the County and the City of Santa Cruz. In addition, the amount of recreation facilities on-campus is adequate to accommodate the increase in population under the 2021 LRDP and would help offset the demand for off-campus facilities. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.			
3.16. Transportation			
Impact 3.16-1: Conflict with a Program, Plan, Ordinance, or Policy Addressing Roadway, Transit, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Facilities The 2021 LRDP includes on-campus improvements to transit service and infrastructure, off-campus transit service, and the on-campus roadway, bicycle and pedestrian network. These improvements are consistent with relevant non-university plans related to circulation, including the 2040 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the City of Santa Cruz General Plan, and the Santa Cruz County General Plan. Therefore, the 2021 LRDP would not conflict with relevant programs, plans, ordinances or policies addressing transit, roadway, bicycle or pedestrian facilities. This would be a less-than-significant impact.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.16-2: Conflict or Be Inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3, Subdivision (b) Related to Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would reduce total campus VMT per capita and residential VMT per campus resident compared to baseline conditions. Residential VMT per campus resident would be below the significance threshold of 15 percent below baseline VMT per campus resident. However, commuter VMT per worker would increase relative to baseline conditions and would not meet the significance threshold of 15 percent below baseline commuter VMT per employee. Therefore, this impact would be significant.	S	 Mitigation Measure 3.16-2: Implement Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Program and Monitoring UC Santa Cruz shall prepare and implement a TDM program as part of the 2021 LRDP that will adaptively manage campus-related VMT. At a minimum, the TDM program shall include the following: performance standards that are deemed sufficient to demonstrate annually that UC Santa Cruz will reduce the total campus VMT per capita to 15 percent below baseline campus average and the total employment VMT per employee to 15 percent below the countywide average; parking management strategies that reduce the per student/faculty/staff parking rates to reduce travel and associated VMT; campus features and TDM measures that will be used to achieve the performance standard commitments; and a monitoring and reporting program. UC Santa Cruz shall initiate preparation of the TDM program within three months of adoption of the 2021 LRDP and shall adopt and initiate program implementation within one academic year of LRDP adoption. This mitigation measure is in alignment with the goals outlined in the UC Santa Cruz 2017-22 	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		Campus Sustainability Plan, including reducing commute VMT by five percent and reducing per capita parking demand by ten percent by 2022. Performance Standard The TDM Program is intended to reduce the total daily VMT per capita to 15 percent below the baseline campus average and the employment VMT per employee to 15 percent below the countywide average. To accurately monitor performance, the TDM Program will develop specific VMT thresholds (i.e., VMT per capita and VMT per employee) and new baseline conditions to measure VMT thresholds against, based on the same methodology and data sources proposed for the monitoring component of the TDM program by which UC Santa Cruz may adaptively manage campus VMT. For example, if 10 percent of UC Santa Cruz employees were to work remotely, the overall target VMT and VMT per employee would be achieved (i.e., a 2-percent reduction in overall VMT). The VMT metrics presented in this chapter were developed using the SCC Travel Model, while the annual monitoring would occur using data collection. Based on current technologies, the campus' VMT performance could be most effectively monitored by using hose counts to measure the number of trips and anonymous cell phone data, which is "big data" that aggregates trip data using cellphones and navigation divides, to determine trip lengths. Since current technologies, including anonymous cell phone data, do not allow the tracking of employment trip lengths separately from the trip lengths generated by other campus uses (i.e., residential trips), the TDM Program shall develop a performance standard for the employment VMT threshold that is a weighted average of VMT generated by	
		campus commuters and other campus users. TDM Program Elements	
		A reduction in daily trips and VMT could be achieved through a significantly enhanced and robust TDM program. For the campus, the TDM program includes both campus features proposed as part of the 2021 LRDP and additional programmatic TDM elements that would support employment (faculty, staff, and student) trip reductions, as outlined below, such as employee housing, additional transit, and parking management tools. The campus would have the flexibility to manage implementation of TDM measures as long as the campus is meeting the VMT performance standards. If the campus is not meeting its performance standard, it would need to evaluate the effectiveness of TDM program and implement additional TDM elements to achieve the performance standards. Potential TDM measures may include, but are not limited to:	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		Implementation Level 1 ▶ Work with appropriate agencies to implement an intelligent transportation system (ITS) program for the Campus Transit system to provide real-time vehicle location and time-to-arrival information at major on-campus shuttle bus stops.	
		► Encourage SCMTD to implement ITS program for campus routes to provide real-time vehicle location and time-to-arrival information at major SCMTD bus stops on- and off-campus (project is currently in development with delivery planned for 2021).	
		► Continue to expand Commuter Vanpool program.	
		Expand Bike Shuttle hours of operations, routes and increase frequency of service, as needed.	
		► Improve transit service between Coastal Science Campus, Westside Research Park, and the main residential campus.	
		► Work with local agencies to provide additional secure bike parking and/or "bike stations" at or near off-campus transit stops.	
		► Where feasible, implement a 4-day/10-hour or 9-day/80-hour work schedule option for staff.	
		Where feasible, promote increased use of telecommuting options for students, staff, and faculty.	
		▶ Replace monthly/annual parking fee with "pay at exit" use-based, daily or other alternative, dynamic payment mechanisms and parking fee policies that encourage off-peak travel.	
		Implementation Level 2	
		▶ Implement reduced on-campus parking fees for arrivals and departures occurring during off-peak hours, to better manage existing and reduce the need for new parking.	
		▶ Work with local agencies to implement a series of off-campus bike circulation improvements (bike boulevards, secure bike parking at major transit stops, etc.).	
		▶ Work with appropriate agencies to identify and develop a Westside Santa Cruz multi-modal hub, to connect Westside shuttle service with expanded automobile and bike parking and (ultimately) regional access via the adjoining rail right-of-way.	

Draft EIR ES-72

NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

SU = Significant and unavoidable

S = Significant

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		▶ Work with appropriate agencies to identify and develop remote Park & Ride facilities with transit service.	
		Explore opportunities to construct new student/staff housing along off-campus transit corridors, including the RTC mass transit rail-trail corridor.	
		Potential VMT Reduction by Program Measure	
		Employee Housing – The 2021 LRDP identifies sites with capacity to house as many as 25 percent of new employees, based on demand associated with the 2021 LRDP. Employee housing would be predominantly located near the main entrance to the campus at Bay and High Streets and at Westside Research Park to make trips to services such as grocery stores and schools as convenient as possible for employees and their families. Inclusion of support uses such as child-care, small park spaces, and community-use rooms located on-campus could also help reduce the number of trips taken by employees. The California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) conducted a study to quantify greenhouse gas (GHG) mitigation measures, which also assess how certain policies/actions can reduce VMT, and subsequently reduce GHG. Per CAPCOA, land use/location measures could reduce VMT by up to 5 percent for a suburban development. Telecommuting - Continue to allow and encourage employees to telecommute when possible. Specifically, shift work schedules such that travel occurs outside of peak congestion periods so that employees do not drive longer routes to avoid traffic or providing opportunities for employees to work from home one or a few days a week can reduce travel to the campus. While schedule shifts would still result in commute trips to campus, they could encourage use of transit by moving trips to times of day when buses are less crowded and/or allow commuters to travel outside of peak commute periods where people may choose longer routes to avoid traffic. Telecommuting is an easy and low-cost way to reduce VMT and GHG. Per CAPCOA, alternative work schedules and telecommuting could reduce work VMT by up to 5.5 percent. Additional Transit - Add express service from major regional destinations or provide fair share contribution to regional mass transit improvements. Add select long-distance bus service to/from campus. Per CAPCOA, transit system improvements could reduce VMT by up to 10 percent, which is also consistent wit	
		implementing multimodal transit hubs and working with partner agencies to	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		increase transit and active transportation connectivity to the campus. Provide additional subsidies for transit use by commuters. Provide additional subsidized commuter vanpool routes to locations with concentrated employee residences, real-time ride matching, and reserved carpool and vanpool parking spaces. Per CAPCOA, a commute trip reduction program could reduce work VMT anywhere from 1 percent to 21 percent, depending on if it is voluntary or required. Parking Management Tools - Improve parking management and enforcement system. Establish "no net new commuter parking" and other parking management or eligibility policies. Per CAPCOA, parking policy/pricing could reduce VMT by up to 20 percent. Each of the TDM strategies can be combined with others to increase the effectiveness of vehicle trip and VMT reduction; however, the interaction between the various strategies is complex. Generally, with each additional measure implemented the incremental benefit of vehicle trip and VMT reduction may be less than the benefit that measure would have if it was considered on its own. ³ Thus, overall, the TDM measures could reduce VMT by up to an additional 15 percent, given the land use context and anticipated effectiveness of the TDM measures.	
		Annual Monitoring Program	
		Starting in the next full academic year after adoption and initiation of a TDM Program implementation, including establishment of baseline data, UC Santa Cruz shall conduct cordon counts at the two campus entrances for at least two weeks, on the fourth week of fall and spring quarters, and other methods to quantify mode choice and trip length, to determine whether the campus is achieving a 15 percent reduction in the per capita VMT over baseline to a maximum of 7.7 VMT per capita. A big data service could be used, to estimate the VMT generated by the campus during the same academic year as the cordon count data collected or other methods such as a mandatory employee travel survey. As noted earlier, the VMT generated by employees cannot be measured separately, so a ratio will be applied to estimate the VMT generated by employees, if big data is only used. An annual monitoring report shall be developed to describe: (a) specific steps taken to implement the TDM program; (b) results of the annual cordon counts and other data collected, including the methodology used to calculate VMT; (c)	

³ For example, a theoretical TDM measure A and B may have an effectiveness of 10 percent each when they are considered on their own. However, if the two measures are combined, the reduction may only be 15 percent and not the 20 percent expected by adding the two measures together.

NI = No impact

B = Beneficial

LTS = Less than significant PS = Potential significant

S = Significant

SU = Significant and unavoidable

Impact 3.16-3: Substantially Increase Hazards Due to a Geometric Design Feature (e.g., Sharp Curves or Dangerous Intersections) or Incompatible Uses (e.g., Farm Equipment) The development associated with the 2021 LRDP would be subject to, and constructed in accordance with the UC Facilities Manual, and all applicable industry standard roadway design and safety guidelines. Therefore, the 2021 LRDP would not substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature or incompatible uses. This impact would be less than significant. Impact 3.16-4: Result in Inadequate Emergency Access Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would not result in inadequate emergency	findings regarding whether the campus has met the VMT performance standard; and (d) an outline of additional TDM measures (i.e., a corrective action plan) to be implemented in subsequent years should the VMT performance standard of at least 15 percent below baseline VMT levels is not reached. No mitigation is required. No mitigation is required.	LTS
(e.g., Sharp Curves or Dangerous Intersections) or Incompatible Uses (e.g., Farm Equipment) The development associated with the 2021 LRDP would be subject to, and constructed in accordance with the UC Facilities Manual, and all applicable industry standard roadway design and safety guidelines. Therefore, the 2021 LRDP would not substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature or incompatible uses. This impact would be less than significant. Impact 3.16-4: Result in Inadequate Emergency Access		
	No mitigation is required.	LTS
access. Future roadway modifications would be designed in a manner consistent with applicable regulations, including those related to roadway widths and turning radii. In addition, UC Santa Cruz would coordinate with other agencies, as appropriate and consistent with the 2021 LRDP and per City/County policies, to ensure the safe transition between UC Santa Cruz facilities and other infrastructure. This would be a less-than-significant impact.		
3.17. Utilities and Service Systems		!
Impact 3.17-1: Impacts on Water Supply Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would generate an additional demand for water; while there would be adequate water supply from the City's existing water sources in normal water years, during single and multiple dry water year conditions, there would be a substantial gap between demand and available supplies, which would require the City to secure a new water source. This impact would be significant.	 Mitigation Measure 3.17-1a: Require Implementation of Measures Consistent with City Drought Measures If and when the City of Santa Cruz implements drought emergency management measures, UC Santa Cruz shall implement the following measures for the duration of the drought emergency: ▶ Reduce use of potable water for irrigation of campus landscaping, including the Arboretum, in accordance with reductions required by the City for similar users; ▶ Utilize water from the existing supply well in Jordan Gulch. UC Santa Cruz shall implement a program of monitoring flow at downgradient springs during the time when the well is being used; ▶ Require academic/administrative water use on campus be reduced, consistent or in excess of the City's target for business facilities; and 	SU

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		► Require residential water use on campus be reduced, consistent or in excess of the City's target for multifamily residential facilities.	
		Mitigation Measure 3.17-1b: Evaluation and Implementation of Additional Water Conservation Measures	
		Within one year following approval of the 2021 LRDP, UC Santa Cruz shall consult with the City of Santa Cruz regarding the appropriate scope of and initiate an	
		engineering audit of campus water use, similar to the previous audit completed in 2007. The audit will assess existing campus water uses, identify additional options	
		for reducing water consumption, prioritize feasible improvements based on the amount of potential water savings and cost effectiveness (and in light of measures already completed by UC Santa Cruz), and recommend top priority measures for implementation within the succeeding five years, and lower priority measures for potential subsequent implementation. The audit will include, but will not be limited to the following:	
		 An inventory of plumbing fixtures in non-housing facilities on campus, which will identify the number and locations of fixtures and identify those that do not meet current campus standards for water efficiency; 	
		An inventory of irrigation systems on the campus, including identification of systems that are not metered, the methods used to control the irrigation schedule, and potential for improvement;	
		An inventory of locations on campus where buildings and irrigation are on the same meter;	
		An analysis of potential water conservation measures for the campus cooling water system; and	
		▶ Identification of landscaped areas on campus that have plants that are high water-use.	
		Following completion of the audit, UC Santa Cruz shall implement measures determined in cooperation with the City of Santa Cruz to address issues identified in the audit. In addition, UC Santa Cruz shall also provide an internal audit every five years with an external audit every ten years on the level of implementation of identified measures, as well as identifying and requiring implementation (where feasible) of potential new technologies or measures from other regional/local studies that could be implemented moving forward. As part of this effort, UC Santa Cruz shall consider necessary updates to the UC Santa Cruz Water Action Plan and	
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signifi	cant PS = I		

Draft EIR ES-76

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
Impact 3.17-2: Require Construction of New/Expanded Water Infrastructure Implementation of the 2021 LRDP could require new water connections or expanded water conveyance systems. However, the construction of new or expanded water infrastructure are comprehensively analyzed in this EIR. This impact is considered less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.17-3: Require Construction of New/Expanded Wastewater Infrastructure to Comply with Applicable Wastewater Treatment Requirements Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would not exceed the available capacity of existing wastewater infrastructure nor would it require the construction or expansion of wastewater treatment facilities or conveyance systems that could cause significant environmental effects. This impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.17-4: Impacts to Solid Waste Facilities and Compliance with Regulations Related to Solid Waste Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would increase solid waste generation at the main residential campus and Westside Research Park. However, adequate landfill capacity is available at local landfills to accommodate additional solid waste generated by the project. Compliance with the UC Sustainable Practices Policy would continue to reduce landfill disposal of solid waste, consistent with CIWMA, AB 341, SB 1374, AB 1826, and SB 1383. This impact would therefore be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
Impact 3.17-5: Require Relocation or Construction of New Electricity, Natural Gas, or Telecommunications Facilities, the Construction of which Would Result in Significant Environmental Impacts New energy facilities may be required as part of 2021 LRDP development. However, the impacts associated with new infrastructure are evaluated as part of the overall 2021 LRDP development. New facilities would be constructed to serve proposed development and any relocated facilities would be coordinated with PG&E in order to ensure no interruption of service. Thus, this impact would be less than significant.	LTS	No mitigation is required.	LTS
3.18. Wildfire	•		
Impact 3.18-1: Compatibility with Adopted Emergency Response and Evacuation Plans UC Santa Cruz has an adopted Emergency Operations Plan (EOP), which comprises the entirety of emergency planning activities that govern emergency response and evacuation on the main residential campus and the Westside Research Park and would also encompass new development under the 2021 LRDP. Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would not interfere with an adopted emergency response or evacuation plan, but construction activities for projects under the 2021 LRDP could result in short-	S	Mitigation Measure 3.9-4: Prepare and Implement Site-Specific Construction Traffic Management Plans (Refer to Section 3.9-4, "Hazards and Hazardous Materials")	LTS
NI = No impact B = Beneficial LTS = Less than signific	cant PS = I	Potential significant S = Significant SU = Significant and unavoidable	

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
term, temporary impacts on street traffic because of roadway improvements and potential extension of construction activities into the right-of-way. This could result in a reduction in the number of lanes or temporary closure of certain street segments. Any such impacts would be limited to the construction period and would affect only adjacent streets or intersection. This would be a significant impact.			
Impact 3.18-2: Wildfire Risk Associated with New Development and Land Use Patterns Implementation of the 2021 LRDP would place new development within the north campus, and along the margins of existing development on the central and lower campus. The UC Santa Cruz EOP outlines evacuation procedures for building emergencies and campus-wide emergencies, and the UC Santa Cruz OES also maintains an ongoing schedule of inspections for all buildings to ensure that fire hazards are mitigated and also conducts plan reviews and inspections of building construction and renovation activities. However, in the absence of an adopted Vegetation Management Plan, the wildfire risk associated with placing new development in close proximity to an HFHSZ and proposed changes in land use under the 2021 LRDP would be significant.	S	 Mitigation Measure 3.18-2: Prepare Campus-Wide Vegetation Management Plan Upon approval of the 2021 LRDP and certification of the EIR, UC Santa Cruz shall initiate preparation and, within 2 years, begin implementation of a campus-wide vegetation management plan. The campus-wide vegetation management plan shall identify fire hazard areas consistent with California Government Code Sections 51179 and 51182, and implement a policy framework for managing fuel loads and maintaining defensible space consistent with Public Resources Code Section 4291. Policies and implementation actions that shall be considered as part of the plan will include, but are not limited to: vegetation management techniques for fire hazard mitigation, including thinning, pruning, removing or otherwise altering vegetation to reduce the potential for ignitions and to modify potential fire behavior; different vegetation management techniques shall be identified, depending on vegetation type, location, condition, and configuration; Treatment actions will be limited to eradication or control of invasive plants, removal of uncharacteristic fuel loads (e.g., removing dead or dying vegetation), trimming of woody species as necessary to reduce ladder fuels, and select thinning of vegetation to restore densities that are characteristic of healthy stands of the vegetation types present in the LRDP area; vegetation management and maintenance standards for dominant vegetation types in the LRDP area, specific recommendations for key wildfire risk areas, and the procedures for identifying and planning annual vegetation treatment operations; fuel management requirements, including clearing vegetation within 100 feet of structures, removing trees and branches that extend within 100 feet of structures, removing trees and branches that extend within 100 feet of structures, removing trees and branches that extend within 100 feet of structures, removing trees	LTS

Impacts	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Significance after Mitigation
		the use of fire resistant/drought tolerant landscaping within 100 feet of new/modified structures within high or very high fire hazard zones; and	
		building construction requirements for new development located in HFHSZs, including fire- or flame-resistant roofing material, roof vent coverings/screens, exterior siding, skylights, windows, doors, and decks, consistent with California Fire Code Chapter 49.	
		As part of this effort, UC Santa Cruz shall also consider and incorporate actions/strategies included as part of the CAL FIRE California Vegetation Treatment Program.	

This page intentionally left blank.